

GROUP CORINGS

S. CAENEPEEL, K. JANSSEN, AND S.H. WANG

ABSTRACT. We introduce group corings, and study functors between categories of comodules over group corings, and the relationship to graded modules over graded rings. Galois group corings are defined, and a Structure Theorem for the G -comodules over a Galois group coring is given. We study (graded) Morita contexts associated to a group coring. Our theory is applied to group corings associated to a comodule algebra over a Hopf group coalgebra.

INTRODUCTION

Group coalgebras and Hopf group coalgebras were introduced by Turaev [15]. A systematic algebraic study of these new structures has been carried out in recent papers by Virelizier, Zunino, and the third author (see for example [16, 17, 18, 19, 21, 22]). Many results from classical Hopf algebra theory can be generalized to Hopf group coalgebras; this has been explained in a paper by the first author and De Lombaerde [6], where it was shown that Hopf group coalgebras are in fact Hopf algebras in a suitable symmetric monoidal category.

In [20], the third author investigated how Hopf-Galois theory can be developed in the framework of Hopf group coalgebras. A definition of Hopf-Galois extension was presented; the requirement is that a set of canonical maps, indexed by the elements of the underlying group, has to be bijective. One aspect in the present theory that is not satisfactory is the lack of an appropriate Structure Theorem: an important result in Hopf-Galois theory states that the category of relative Hopf modules over a faithfully flat Hopf-Galois extension is equivalent to the category of modules over the coinvariants. So far, no such result is known in the framework of Hopf group coalgebras.

Corings were introduced by Sweedler [14], and were revived recently by Brzeziński [3]. One of the important observations is that coring theory provides an elegant approach to descent theory and Hopf-Galois theory (see

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16W30, 16W50.

Key words and phrases. Corings, group coalgebras, Hopf-Galois extensions, graded rings, graded Morita contexts.

This research was supported by the research project G.0622.06 “Deformation quantization methods for algebras and categories with applications to quantum mechanics” from FWO-Vlaanderen. The third author was partially supported by the SRF (20060286006) and the FNS (10571026).

[3, 4, 5]). The aim of this paper is to develop Galois theory for group corings, and to apply it to Hopf group coalgebras.

A G - A -coring (or group coring) consists of a set of A -bimodules indexed by a group G , together with a counit map, and a set of diagonal maps indexed by $G \times G$, with appropriate axioms (see Section 1). A first remarkable observation is the fact that we can introduce two different types of comodules over a group coring $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$. $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ -comodules consist of a single A -module, with a set of structure maps indexed by G , while G - $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ -comodules consist of a set of A -modules indexed by G , together with structure maps indexed by $G \times G$. We have a pair of adjoint functors between the two categories of comodules (see Proposition 1.1). This remarkable fact can be explained by duality arguments. Dualizing the definition of a G - A -coring, we obtain G - A -rings; in the coalgebra case, this was observed in [21]. In contrast to G -corings, G -rings are a well-known concept: in fact there is a categorical correspondence between G - A -rings and G -graded A -rings. The graded ring corresponding to a G -ring is the so-called “packed form” of the G -ring, in the terminology of [21]. We don’t have a similar correspondence between group corings and graded corings, unless the group G in question is finite. This indicates that duality properties for module categories over graded rings have to be studied from the point of view of group corings, rather than graded corings.

Over a graded ring, one can study ordinary modules and graded modules, and there exists an adjoint pair between the two categories. We also have functors from the categories of $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ -comodules (resp. G - $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ -comodules) to modules (resp. graded modules) over the dual graded ring of $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$. All these functors appear in a commutative diagram of functors (see Proposition 4.5). The functor between the category of G - $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ -comodules and graded modules is an equivalence if every $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$ (or equivalently, every homogeneous part of the dual graded ring) is finitely generated and projective as an A -module (see Proposition 4.4). These properties of (co)module categories are studied in Sections 1, 3 and 4.

An important class of group corings, called cofree group corings, is investigated in Section 2. Basically, these are corings for which all the underlying bimodules are isomorphic. A cofree coring is - up to isomorphism - determined by $\mathcal{C}_e$, its part of degree e ; its left dual is the group ring ${}^*\mathcal{C}_e[G]$ over the left dual of $\mathcal{C}_e$ (Proposition 4.6). The category of G - $\mathcal{C}$ -comodules is equivalent to the category of comodules over $\mathcal{C}_e$ (Theorem 2.2). This is an analog of the well-known fact that, for a group ring $R[G]$, the category of graded $R[G]$ -modules is equivalent to the category of R -modules.

In Section 5, we introduce the notion of grouplike family of a group coring. Grouplike families correspond bijectively to $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ -comodule structures on A . Fixing a grouplike family, we can introduce the coinvariant subring T of A . We have two pairs of adjoint functors, one connecting modules over the coinvariants to right $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ -comodules (Proposition 5.3), and another one connecting modules over the coinvariants to right G - $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ -comodules (Proposition 5.4). It can be established when the latter adjoint pair, denoted (F_7, G_7) , is a pair

of inverse equivalences. Given a group coring $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ with a fixed grouplike family, we can define a canonical morphism of group corings between the cofree coring built on the Sweedler canonical coring and the coring $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$. If F_7 is an equivalence, then this canonical morphism is an isomorphism (Proposition 5.7). In this case, we call our group coring a Galois group coring. This is equivalent to $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ being cofree, and $\mathcal{C}_e$ being a Galois coring. The Structure Theorem 5.12 is our main result. Basically, if $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ is Galois, and A is faithfully flat over the coinvariants, then F_7 is an equivalence.

Morita theory plays an important role in this theory. To a group coring with a fixed grouplike family, we can associate several Morita contexts. Two of them are classical Morita contexts, and have been studied in a special situation (see Section 10) in [20]. But the natural Morita contexts are in fact graded Morita contexts. In Section 6, we give some generalities on graded Morita contexts; in Sections 7 and 8, we discuss the Morita contexts and their relationship.

In some situations, the Galois property of a group coring can be characterized by the graded Morita contexts associated to it. We study this in Section 9. In the final Section 10, we briefly discuss the situation where $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ is a group coring $A \otimes \underline{H}$ associated to a right $\underline{H}$ -comodule algebra A , where $\underline{H}$ is a Hopf group coalgebra, as introduced in [15]. We show that this group coring is Galois if and only if A is an $\underline{H}$ -Galois extension in the sense of [20]. This entails a Structure Theorem for relative group Hopf modules; we also describe the dual of the group coring $A \otimes \underline{H}$.

Throughout this paper, we will adopt the following notational conventions. For an object M in a category, M will also denote the identity morphism on M .

Let G be a group and M a (right) A -module. We will often need collections of A -modules isomorphic to M and indexed by G . We will consider these modules as isomorphic, but distinct. Let $M \times \{\alpha\}$ be the module with index α . We then have isomorphisms

$$\mu_\alpha : M \rightarrow M \times \{\alpha\}, \quad \mu_\alpha(m) = (m, \alpha).$$

We can then write $M \times \{\alpha\} = \mu_\alpha(M)$. μ can be considered as a dummy variable, we will also use the symbols $\gamma, \nu, \dots$. We will identify M and $M \times \{e\}$ using μ_e .

1. GROUP CORINGS AND COMODULES

Let G be a group, and A a ring with unit. The unit element of G will be denoted by e . A G -group A -coring (or shortly a G - A -coring) $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ is a family $(\mathcal{C}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in G}$ of A -bimodules together with a family of bimodule maps

$$\Delta_{\alpha, \beta} : \mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\alpha \otimes_A \mathcal{C}_\beta ; \quad \varepsilon : \mathcal{C}_e \rightarrow A,$$

such that

$$(1) \quad (\Delta_{\alpha, \beta} \otimes_A \mathcal{C}_\gamma) \circ \Delta_{\alpha\beta, \gamma} = (\mathcal{C}_\alpha \otimes_A \Delta_{\beta, \gamma}) \circ \Delta_{\alpha, \beta\gamma}$$

and

$$(2) \quad (\mathcal{C}_\alpha \otimes_A \varepsilon) \circ \Delta_{\alpha,e} = \mathcal{C}_\alpha = (\varepsilon \otimes_A \mathcal{C}_\alpha) \circ \Delta_{e,\alpha},$$

for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in G$. We use the following Sweedler-type notation for the comultiplication maps $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}$:

$$\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(c) = c_{(1,\alpha)} \otimes_A c_{(2,\beta)},$$

for all $c \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta}$. Then (2) takes the form

$$(3) \quad c_{(1,\alpha)} \varepsilon(c_{(2,e)}) = c = \varepsilon(c_{(1,e)}) c_{(2,\alpha)}.$$

(1) justifies the following notation:

$$((\Delta_{\alpha,\beta} \otimes_A \mathcal{C}_\gamma) \circ \Delta_{\alpha\beta,\gamma})(c) = ((\mathcal{C}_\alpha \otimes_A \Delta_{\beta,\gamma}) \circ \Delta_{\alpha,\beta\gamma})(c) = c_{(1,\alpha)} \otimes_A c_{(2,\beta)} \otimes_A c_{(3,\gamma)},$$

for all $c \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$. If $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ is a G - A -coring, then $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_e$ is an A -coring, with comultiplication $\Delta_{e,e}$ and counit ε .

A morphism between two G - A -corings $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\underline{\mathcal{D}}$ consists of a family of A -bimodule maps $(f_\alpha)_{\alpha \in G}$, $f_\alpha : \mathcal{C}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\alpha$ such that

$$(f_\alpha \otimes_A f_\beta) \circ \Delta_{\alpha,\beta} = \Delta_{\alpha,\beta} \circ f_{\alpha\beta} \text{ and } \varepsilon \circ f_e = \varepsilon.$$

If A is a commutative ring, and $ac = ca$, for all $\alpha \in G$, $a \in A$ and $c \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha$, then $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ is called a G -coalgebra, cf. [15].

Over a group coring, we can define two different types of comodules. A right $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ -comodule is a right A -module M together with a family of right A -linear maps $(\rho_\alpha)_{\alpha \in G}$, $\rho_\alpha : M \rightarrow M \otimes_A \mathcal{C}_\alpha$, such that

$$(4) \quad (M \otimes_A \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}) \circ \rho_{\alpha\beta} = (\rho_\alpha \otimes_A \mathcal{C}_\beta) \circ \rho_\beta$$

and

$$(5) \quad (M \otimes_A \varepsilon) \circ \rho_e = M.$$

We use the following Sweedler-type notation:

$$\rho_\alpha(m) = m_{[0]} \otimes_A m_{[1,\alpha]}.$$

(4) justifies the notation

$$((M \otimes_A \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}) \circ \rho_{\alpha\beta})(m) = ((\rho_\alpha \otimes_A \mathcal{C}_\beta) \circ \rho_\beta)(m) = m_{[0]} \otimes_A m_{[1,\alpha]} \otimes_A m_{[2,\beta]},$$

and (5) is equivalent to $m_{[0]} \varepsilon(m_{[1,e]}) = m$, for all $m \in M$.

A morphism of right $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ -comodules is a right A -linear map $f : M \rightarrow N$ satisfying the condition

$$(6) \quad (f \otimes_A \mathcal{C}_\alpha) \circ \rho_\alpha = \rho_\alpha \circ f,$$

for all $\alpha \in G$. $\mathcal{M}^{\underline{\mathcal{C}}}$ will be our notation for the category of right $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ -comodules.

A right G - $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ -comodule $\underline{M}$ is a family of right A -modules $(M_\alpha)_{\alpha \in G}$, together with a family of right A -linear maps

$$\rho_{\alpha,\beta} : M_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow M_\alpha \otimes_A \mathcal{C}_\beta$$

such that

$$(7) \quad (M_\alpha \otimes_A \Delta_{\beta,\gamma}) \circ \rho_{\alpha,\beta\gamma} = (\rho_{\alpha,\beta} \otimes_A \mathcal{C}_\gamma) \circ \rho_{\alpha\beta,\gamma}$$

and

$$(8) \quad (M_\alpha \otimes_A \varepsilon) \circ \rho_{\alpha,e} = M_\alpha$$

for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in G$. We now use the following Sweedler-type notation:

$$\rho_{\alpha,\beta}(m) = m_{[0,\alpha]} \otimes_A m_{[1,\beta]},$$

for $m \in M_{\alpha\beta}$. (7) justifies the notation

$$\begin{aligned} ((M_\alpha \otimes_A \Delta_{\beta,\gamma}) \circ \rho_{\alpha,\beta\gamma})(m) &= ((\rho_{\alpha,\beta} \otimes_A \mathcal{C}_\gamma) \circ \rho_{\alpha\beta,\gamma})(m) \\ &= m_{[0,\alpha]} \otimes_A m_{[1,\beta]} \otimes_A m_{[2,\gamma]}, \end{aligned}$$

for $m \in M_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$. (8) implies that $m_{[0,\alpha]}\varepsilon(m_{[1,e]}) = m$, for all $m \in M_\alpha$. A morphism between two right G - $\mathcal{C}$ -comodules $\underline{M}$ and $\underline{N}$ is a family of right A -linear maps $f_\alpha : M_\alpha \rightarrow N_\alpha$ such that

$$(f_\alpha \otimes_A \mathcal{C}_\beta) \circ \rho_{\alpha,\beta} = \rho_{\alpha,\beta} \circ f_{\alpha\beta}.$$

The category of right G - $\mathcal{C}$ -comodules will be denoted by $\mathcal{M}^{G,\mathcal{C}}$.

Proposition 1.1. *We have a pair of adjoint functors (F_1, G_1) between the categories $\mathcal{M}^{G,\mathcal{C}}$ and $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{C}}$. Moreover, if G is a finite group, then (F_1, G_1) is a Frobenius pair of functors, i.e. F_1 is also a right adjoint of G_1 .*

Proof. Take $\underline{M} = (M_\alpha)_{\alpha \in G} \in \mathcal{M}^{G,\mathcal{C}}$, and define

$$F_1(\underline{M}) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} M_\alpha = M.$$

The coaction maps $\rho_\alpha : M \rightarrow M \otimes_A \mathcal{C}_\alpha$ are defined as follows: for $m \in M_\beta$, let

$$(9) \quad \rho_\alpha(m) = m_{[0,\beta\alpha^{-1}]} \otimes_A m_{[1,\alpha]}.$$

Otherwise stated, $\rho_\alpha = \bigoplus_{\beta \in G} \rho_{\beta\alpha^{-1},\alpha}$. Let us show that (4,5) hold. For all $m \in M_\gamma$, we compute that

$$\begin{aligned} ((\rho_\alpha \otimes_A \mathcal{C}_\beta) \circ \rho_\beta)(m) &= (\rho_\alpha \otimes_A \mathcal{C}_\beta)(m_{[0,\gamma\beta^{-1}]} \otimes_A m_{[1,\beta]}) \\ &= m_{[0,\gamma\beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}]} \otimes_A m_{[1,\alpha]} \otimes_A m_{[2,\beta]} \\ &= ((M \otimes_A \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}) \circ \rho_{\alpha\beta})(m); \\ ((M \otimes_A \varepsilon) \circ \rho_e)(m) &= m_{[0,\gamma]}\varepsilon(m_{[1,e]}) = m. \end{aligned}$$

For a morphism $\underline{f} : \underline{M} \rightarrow \underline{N}$ in $\mathcal{M}^{G,\mathcal{C}}$, we simply define

$$F_1(\underline{f}) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} f_\alpha.$$

Let us now define G_1 . For $M \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{C}}$, let $G_1(M)_\alpha = \mu_\alpha(M)$, where we use the notation introduced at the end of the introduction. The coaction maps $\rho_{\alpha,\beta} : \mu_{\alpha\beta}(M) \rightarrow \mu_\alpha(M) \otimes_A \mathcal{C}_\beta$ are defined by

$$(10) \quad \rho_{\alpha,\beta}(\mu_{\alpha\beta}(m)) = \mu_\alpha(m_{[0]}) \otimes_A m_{[1,\beta]},$$

for all $m \in M$. The formulas (7,8) hold since

$$\begin{aligned}
& ((M_\alpha \otimes_A \Delta_{\beta,\gamma}) \circ \rho_{\alpha,\beta\gamma})(\mu_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(m)) \\
&= (M_\alpha \otimes_A \Delta_{\beta,\gamma})(\mu_\alpha(m_{[0]}) \otimes_A m_{[1,\beta\gamma]}) \\
&= \mu_\alpha(m_{[0]}) \otimes_A m_{[1,\beta]} \otimes_A m_{[2,\gamma]} \\
&= \rho_{\alpha,\beta}(\mu_{\alpha\beta}(m_{[0]})) \otimes_A m_{[1,\gamma]} \\
&= ((\rho_{\alpha,\beta} \otimes_A \mathcal{C}_\gamma) \circ \rho_{\alpha\beta,\gamma})(\mu_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(m)); \\
& ((M_\alpha \otimes_A \varepsilon) \circ \rho_{\alpha,e})(\mu_\alpha(m)) \\
&= (M_\alpha \otimes_A \varepsilon)(\mu_\alpha(m_{[0]}) \otimes_A m_{[1,e]}) \\
&= \mu_\alpha(m_{[0]}) \varepsilon(m_{[1,e]}) = \mu_\alpha(m_{[0]}\varepsilon(m_{[1,e]})) = \mu_\alpha(m).
\end{aligned}$$

On the morphisms, G_1 is defined as follows: for $f : M \rightarrow N$ in $\mathcal{M}^\mathcal{L}$, we put

$$G_1(f) = (\nu_\alpha \circ f \circ \mu_\alpha^{-1})_{\alpha \in G}.$$

Take $\underline{M} \in \mathcal{M}^{G,\mathcal{L}}$ and $N \in \mathcal{M}^\mathcal{L}$, and consider the map

$$\psi : \text{Hom}^\mathcal{L}(F_1(\underline{M}), N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}^{G,\mathcal{L}}(\underline{M}, G_1(N))$$

defined as follows. For $f : \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} M_\alpha \rightarrow N$, let

$$\psi(f)_\alpha = \nu_\alpha \circ f \circ i_\alpha : M_\alpha \rightarrow G_1(N)_\alpha = \nu_\alpha(N),$$

where $i_\alpha : M_\alpha \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} M_\alpha$ is the canonical injection. Now consider the map

$$\phi : \text{Hom}^{G,\mathcal{L}}(\underline{M}, G_1(N)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}^\mathcal{L}(F_1(\underline{M}), N),$$

defined as follows: for $\underline{g} = (g_\alpha)_{\alpha \in G} : \underline{M} \rightarrow G_1(N)$, let

$$\phi(\underline{g})(m) = \sum_{\alpha \in G} (\nu_\alpha^{-1} \circ g_\alpha \circ p_\alpha)(m),$$

where now $p_\alpha : \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} M_\alpha \rightarrow M_\alpha$ is the canonical projection. Straightforward computations show that ψ and ϕ are well-defined. They are inverses, since

$$\phi(\psi(f))(m) = \sum_{\alpha \in G} (\nu_\alpha^{-1} \circ \nu_\alpha \circ f \circ i_\alpha \circ p_\alpha)(m) = f\left(\sum_{\alpha \in G} (i_\alpha \circ p_\alpha)(m)\right) = f(m),$$

for all $m \in \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} M_\alpha$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi(\phi(\underline{g}))_\alpha(m) &= (\nu_\alpha \circ \phi(\underline{g}) \circ i_\alpha)(m) \\
&= \sum_{\beta \in G} (\nu_\alpha \circ \nu_\beta^{-1} \circ g_\beta \circ p_\beta \circ i_\alpha)(m) = (\nu_\alpha \circ \nu_\alpha^{-1} \circ g_\alpha)(m) = g_\alpha(m),
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\alpha \in G$ and $m \in M_\alpha$. It is easy to show that ψ and ϕ define natural transformations. Let us describe the unit η_1 and the counit ε_1 of the adjunction. For $\underline{M} \in \mathcal{M}^{G,\mathcal{L}}$, we have

$$\eta_{1,\underline{M},\beta} = \mu_\beta \circ i_\beta : M_\beta \rightarrow \mu_\beta\left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} M_\alpha\right);$$

for $N \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{L}}$, we have

$$\varepsilon_{1,N} = \sum_{\alpha \in G} \mu_{\alpha}^{-1} \circ p_{\alpha} : \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} \mu_{\alpha}(N) \rightarrow N.$$

To prove the final statement, let us assume that G is finite. Take $\underline{M} \in \mathcal{M}^{G,\mathcal{L}}$ and $N \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{L}}$, and consider the map

$$\Phi : \text{Hom}^{G,\mathcal{L}}(G_1(N), \underline{M}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}^{\mathcal{L}}(N, F_1(\underline{M})),$$

defined by

$$\Phi(\underline{g})(n) = \sum_{\alpha \in G} (i_{\alpha} \circ g_{\alpha} \circ \mu_{\alpha})(n) \in \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} M_{\alpha},$$

for all morphisms $\underline{g} = (g_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in G} : G_1(N) \rightarrow \underline{M}$ in $\mathcal{M}^{G,\mathcal{L}}$. Now consider the map

$$\Psi : \text{Hom}^{\mathcal{L}}(N, F_1(\underline{M})) \rightarrow \text{Hom}^{G,\mathcal{L}}(G_1(N), \underline{M}),$$

defined by

$$\Psi(f)_{\alpha} = p_{\alpha} \circ f \circ \mu_{\alpha}^{-1} : \mu_{\alpha}(N) \rightarrow M_{\alpha},$$

for all right $\mathcal{L}$ -colinear maps $f : N \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} M_{\alpha}$. One can check that Φ and Ψ are well-defined. Let us check that Φ and Ψ are mutually inverse:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\Psi(f))(n) &= \sum_{\alpha \in G} (i_{\alpha} \circ \Psi(f)_{\alpha} \circ \mu_{\alpha})(n) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in G} (i_{\alpha} \circ p_{\alpha} \circ f \circ \mu_{\alpha}^{-1} \circ \mu_{\alpha})(n) = \sum_{\alpha \in G} (i_{\alpha} \circ p_{\alpha})(f(n)) = f(n), \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in N$, and, for all $\alpha \in G$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\Phi(\underline{g}))_{\alpha} &= p_{\alpha} \circ \Phi(\underline{g}) \circ \mu_{\alpha}^{-1} = \sum_{\beta \in G} (p_{\alpha} \circ i_{\beta} \circ g_{\beta} \circ \mu_{\beta} \circ \mu_{\alpha}^{-1}) \\ &= g_{\alpha} \circ \mu_{\alpha} \circ \mu_{\alpha}^{-1} = g_{\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us finally describe the unit ν_1 and the counit ζ_1 of this adjunction. For $N \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{L}}$, we have

$$\nu_{1,N} = \sum_{\alpha \in G} i_{\alpha} \circ \mu_{\alpha} : N \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} \mu_{\alpha}(N);$$

for $\underline{M} \in \mathcal{M}^{G,\mathcal{L}}$, we have

$$\zeta_{1,\underline{M},\beta} = p_{\beta} \circ \mu_{\beta}^{-1} : \mu_{\beta}(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} M_{\alpha}) \rightarrow M_{\beta}.$$

□

2. COFREE GROUP CORINGS

Definition 2.1. A G - A -coring $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ is called cofree if there exist A -bimodule isomorphisms $\gamma_\alpha : \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_e \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\alpha$ such that

$$(11) \quad \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(\gamma_{\alpha\beta}(c)) = \gamma_\alpha(c_{(1)}) \otimes_A \gamma_\beta(c_{(2)}),$$

for all $c \in \mathcal{C}$.

From (2) and (11), it follows that

$$(\varepsilon \circ \gamma_\alpha^{-1})(\gamma_{\alpha\beta}(c)_{(1,\alpha)}) \gamma_{\alpha\beta}(c)_{(2,\beta)} = \gamma_\beta(c),$$

for all $c \in \mathcal{C}$. This can be restated as follows: for all $c \in \gamma_{\alpha\beta}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta}$, we have

$$(12) \quad (\varepsilon \circ \gamma_\alpha^{-1})(c_{(1,\alpha)}) c_{(2,\beta)} = (\gamma_\beta \circ \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{-1})(c).$$

In a similar way, we obtain the formula

$$(13) \quad c_{(1,\alpha)} (\varepsilon \circ \gamma_\beta^{-1})(c_{(2,\beta)}) = (\gamma_\alpha \circ \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{-1})(c).$$

A cofree group coring $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ is defined up to isomorphism by $\mathcal{C}_e$. We will write $\underline{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{C}_e \langle G \rangle$.

Theorem 2.2. *If $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ is a cofree group coring, then the categories $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{C}_e}$ and $\mathcal{M}^{G,\underline{\mathcal{C}}}$ are equivalent.*

Proof. We define a functor $F_2 : \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{C}_e} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{G,\underline{\mathcal{C}}}$ as follows: $F_2(N)_\alpha = \nu_\alpha(N)$ is an isomorphic copy of N ; the coaction maps are

$$\rho_{\alpha,\beta} : \nu_{\alpha\beta}(N) \rightarrow \nu_\alpha(N) \otimes_A \gamma_\beta(\mathcal{C}_e), \quad \rho_{\alpha,\beta}(\nu_{\alpha\beta}(n)) = \nu_\alpha(n_{[0]}) \otimes_A \gamma_\beta(n_{[1]}).$$

We also have a functor $G_2 : \mathcal{M}^{G,\underline{\mathcal{C}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{C}_e}$, $G_2(\underline{M}) = M_e$, with coaction $\rho_{e,e} = \rho$. It is then clear that $G_2(F_2(N)) = N$, for all $N \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{C}_e}$. For $\underline{M} \in \mathcal{M}^{G,\underline{\mathcal{C}}}$, we have that

$$F_2(G_2(\underline{M})) = (\nu_\alpha(M_e))_{\alpha \in G}.$$

It is clear that the map

$$\varphi_\alpha : M_\alpha \rightarrow \nu_\alpha(M_e), \quad \varphi_\alpha(m) = \nu_\alpha(m_{[0,e]}) \varepsilon(\gamma_\alpha^{-1}(m_{[1,\alpha]}))$$

is right A -linear. $\underline{\varphi} = (\varphi_\alpha)_{\alpha \in G}$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{M}^{G,\underline{\mathcal{C}}}$, since

$$\begin{aligned} ((\varphi_\alpha \otimes_A \mathcal{C}_\beta) \circ \rho_{\alpha,\beta})(m) &= \varphi_\alpha(m_{[0,\alpha]}) \otimes_A m_{[1,\beta]} \\ &= \nu_\alpha(m_{[0,e]}) (\varepsilon \circ \gamma_\alpha^{-1})(m_{[1,\alpha]}) \otimes_A m_{[2,\beta]} \\ (12) \quad &= \nu_\alpha(m_{[0,e]}) \otimes_A (\gamma_\beta \circ \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{-1})(m_{[1,\alpha\beta]}) \\ (13) \quad &= \nu_\alpha(m_{[0,e]}) \otimes_A \gamma_\beta(m_{[1,e]} (\varepsilon \circ \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{-1})(m_{[2,\alpha\beta]})) \\ &= \nu_\alpha(m_{[0,e]}) \otimes_A \gamma_\beta(m_{[1,e]}) (\varepsilon \circ \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{-1})(m_{[2,\alpha\beta]}) \\ &= \rho_{\alpha,\beta}(\nu_{\alpha\beta}(m_{[0,e]})) (\varepsilon \circ \gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{-1})(m_{[1,\alpha\beta]}) \\ &= (\rho_{\alpha,\beta} \circ \varphi_{\alpha\beta})(m), \end{aligned}$$

for all $m \in M_{\alpha\beta}$. Next we define

$$\psi_\alpha : \nu_\alpha(M_e) \rightarrow M_\alpha, \quad \psi_\alpha(\nu_\alpha(m)) = m_{[0,\alpha]}(\varepsilon \circ \gamma_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1})(m_{[1,\alpha^{-1}]}).$$

For all $m \in M_\alpha$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi_\alpha \circ \varphi_\alpha)(m) &= \psi_\alpha(\nu_\alpha(m_{[0,e]})\varepsilon(f_\alpha^{-1}(m_{[1,\alpha]}))) \\ &= m_{[0,\alpha]}(\varepsilon \circ \gamma_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1})(m_{[1,\alpha^{-1}]})(\varepsilon \circ \gamma_\alpha^{-1})(m_{[2,\alpha]}) \\ &= m_{[0,\alpha]}(\varepsilon \circ \gamma_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1})\left(m_{[1,\alpha^{-1}]}(\varepsilon \circ \gamma_\alpha^{-1})(m_{[2,\alpha]})\right) \\ &\stackrel{(13)}{=} m_{[0,\alpha]}(\varepsilon \circ \gamma_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1})\left((\gamma_{\alpha^{-1}} \circ \gamma_e^{-1})(m_{[1,e]})\right) \\ &= m_{[0,\alpha]}\varepsilon(m_{[1,e]}) = m. \end{aligned}$$

For all $m \in M_e$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi_\alpha \circ \psi_\alpha)(\nu_\alpha(m)) &= \varphi_\alpha\left(m_{[0,\alpha]}(\varepsilon \circ \gamma_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1})(m_{[1,\alpha^{-1}]})\right) \\ &= \nu_\alpha(m_{[0,e]})(\varepsilon \circ \gamma_\alpha^{-1})(m_{[1,\alpha]})(\varepsilon \circ \gamma_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1})(m_{[2,\alpha^{-1}]}) \\ &= \nu_\alpha(m_{[0,e]})(\varepsilon \circ \gamma_\alpha^{-1})\left(m_{[1,\alpha]}(\varepsilon \circ \gamma_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1})(m_{[2,\alpha^{-1}]})\right) \\ &\stackrel{(13)}{=} \nu_\alpha(m_{[0,e]})(\varepsilon \circ \gamma_\alpha^{-1} \circ \gamma_\alpha \circ \gamma_e^{-1})(m_{[1,e]}) \\ &= \nu_\alpha(m_{[0,e]}\varepsilon(m_{[1,e]})) = \nu_\alpha(m). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that ψ_α is inverse to φ_α , and our result follows. $\square$

3. GRADED CORINGS AND COMODULES

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an A -coring, $\mathcal{C}$ is called a G -graded A -coring if there exists a direct sum decomposition $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} \mathcal{C}_\alpha$ as A -bimodules such that $\Delta(\mathcal{C}_\alpha) \subset \bigoplus_{\beta \in G} \mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta^{-1}} \otimes_A \mathcal{C}_\beta$ and $\varepsilon(\mathcal{C}_\alpha) = 0$ if $\alpha \neq e$.

If A is a commutative ring, and $ac = ca$, for all $a \in A$ and $c \in \mathcal{C}$, then $\mathcal{C}$ is called a G -graded coalgebra, cf. [12].

To a G -graded A -coring, we can associate a G - A -coring $\underline{\mathcal{C}} = (\mathcal{C}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in G}$. The counit is the restriction of ε to $\mathcal{C}_e$, and $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}$ is the composition

$$\mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta} \xrightarrow{\Delta} \bigoplus_{\gamma \in G} \mathcal{C}_{\alpha\beta\gamma^{-1}} \otimes_A \mathcal{C}_\gamma \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{C}_\alpha \otimes_A \mathcal{C}_\beta,$$

where p is the obvious projection.

Let (M, ρ) be a right $\mathcal{C}$ -comodule. For each $\alpha \in G$, we consider the map

$$(M \otimes_A p_\alpha) \circ \rho : M \rightarrow M \otimes_A \mathcal{C}_\alpha,$$

where $p_\alpha : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\alpha$ is the projection. Then M is a right $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ -comodule, and we obtain a functor $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\underline{\mathcal{C}}}$.

(M, ρ) is called a G -graded right $\mathcal{C}$ -comodule if we have a decomposition

$M = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} M_\alpha$ as right A -modules, such that

$$\rho(M_\alpha) \subset \bigoplus_{\beta \in G} M_{\alpha\beta^{-1}} \otimes_A \mathcal{C}_\beta.$$

Now consider the maps

$$\rho_{\alpha,\beta} : M_{\alpha\beta} \xrightarrow{\rho} \bigoplus_{\gamma \in G} M_{\alpha\beta\gamma^{-1}} \otimes_A \mathcal{C}_\gamma \xrightarrow{p} M_\alpha \otimes_A \mathcal{C}_\beta.$$

Then $\underline{M} = (M_\alpha)_{\alpha \in G}$ is a right G - $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ -comodule, and we have a functor from the category of graded $\mathcal{C}$ -comodules to $\mathcal{M}^{G,\underline{\mathcal{C}}}$.

If G is finite, then there is a one-to-one correspondence between graded corings and group corings: if $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ is a group coring, then $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} \mathcal{C}_\alpha$ is a graded coring. In this situation the two functors between $(G$ -graded) $\mathcal{C}$ -comodules and $(G$ -) $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ -comodules are isomorphisms of categories.

4. GRADED RINGS AND MODULES

Let A be a ring and $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} R_\alpha$ a G -graded ring. Suppose that we have a ring morphism $i : A \rightarrow R_e$. Then we call R a G -graded A -ring. Every R_α is then an A -bimodule, via restriction of scalars, and the decomposition of R is a decomposition of A -bimodules. The category of G -graded right R -modules will be denoted by $\mathcal{M}_R^G$.

Let $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ be a G - A -coring. For every $\alpha \in G$, $R_\alpha = {}^*\mathcal{C}_{\alpha^{-1}} = {}_A\text{Hom}(\mathcal{C}_{\alpha^{-1}}, A)$ is an A -bimodule, with

$$(a \cdot f \cdot b)(c) = f(ca)b,$$

for all $f \in R_\alpha$, $a, b \in A$ and $c \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha^{-1}}$.

Take $f_\alpha \in R_\alpha$, $g_\beta \in R_\beta$ and define $f_\alpha \# g_\beta \in R_{\alpha\beta}$ as the composition

$$\mathcal{C}_{(\alpha\beta)^{-1}} \xrightarrow{\Delta_{\beta^{-1}, \alpha^{-1}}} \mathcal{C}_{\beta^{-1}} \otimes_A \mathcal{C}_{\alpha^{-1}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}_{\beta^{-1}} \otimes_A f_\alpha} \mathcal{C}_{\beta^{-1}} \xrightarrow{g_\beta} A,$$

that is,

$$(f_\alpha \# g_\beta)(c) = g_\beta(c_{(1, \beta^{-1})} f_\alpha(c_{(2, \alpha^{-1})})),$$

for all $c \in \mathcal{C}_{(\alpha\beta)^{-1}}$. This defines maps $m_{\alpha,\beta} : R_\alpha \otimes_A R_\beta \rightarrow R_{\alpha\beta}$, which make $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} R_\alpha$ into a G -graded A -ring. Let us show that the multiplication is associative: take $h_\gamma \in R_\gamma$ and $c \in \mathcal{C}_{(\alpha\beta\gamma)^{-1}}$. We then compute that

$$\begin{aligned} ((f_\alpha \# g_\beta) \# h_\gamma)(c) &= h_\gamma(c_{(1, \gamma^{-1})} (f_\alpha \# g_\beta)(c_{(2, (\alpha\beta)^{-1})})) \\ &= h_\gamma(c_{(1, \gamma^{-1})} g_\beta(c_{(2, \beta^{-1})} f_\alpha(c_{(3, \alpha^{-1})}))) = (f_\alpha \# (g_\beta \# h_\gamma))(c). \end{aligned}$$

$\varepsilon \in R_e$ is a unit for the multiplication; $i : A \rightarrow R_e$, $i(a)(c) = \varepsilon(c)a$ is a ring homomorphism, since

$$\begin{aligned} (i(a) \# i(b))(c) &= i(b)(c_{(1,e)} i(a)(c_{(2,e)})) = i(b)(c_{(1,e)} \varepsilon(c_{(2,e)})a) \\ &= i(b)(ca) = \varepsilon(ca)b = \varepsilon(c)ab = i(ab)(c). \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} R_\alpha$ is a G -graded A -ring, called the (left) dual (graded) ring of the group coring $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$. We will also write ${}^*\underline{\mathcal{C}} = R$.

Suppose we are given a morphism $\underline{f} = (f_\alpha)_{\alpha \in G} : \underline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{D}}$ of G - A -corings, its left dual is defined as the G -graded A -ring morphism

$${}^*\underline{f} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} {}^*f_{\alpha^{-1}} : {}^*\underline{\mathcal{D}} = R' \rightarrow {}^*\underline{\mathcal{C}} = R, \quad {}^*\underline{f}\left(\sum_{\alpha \in G} g_\alpha\right) = \sum_{\alpha \in G} g_\alpha \circ f_{\alpha^{-1}}.$$

For every $\alpha \in G$, $R_\alpha^* = \text{Hom}_A(R_\alpha, A)$ is an A -bimodule, with structure maps

$$(a \cdot h \cdot b)(f) = ah(bf),$$

for all $a, b \in A$, $f \in R_\alpha$ and $h \in R_\alpha^*$. We have an A -bimodule map

$$\iota_\alpha : \mathcal{C}_{\alpha^{-1}} \rightarrow R_\alpha^*, \quad \iota_\alpha(c)(f) = f(c).$$

If $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha^{-1}}$ is finitely generated and projective as a left A -module, then ι_α is an isomorphism of A -bimodules. Since

$$R^* = \text{Hom}_A(R, A) = \text{Hom}_A\left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} R_\alpha, A\right) \cong \prod_{\alpha \in G} R_\alpha^* \cong \prod_{\alpha \in G} R_{\alpha^{-1}}^*,$$

the ι_α define an A -bimodule map

$$\prod_{\alpha \in G} \iota_{\alpha^{-1}} \cong \iota : \prod_{\alpha \in G} \mathcal{C}_\alpha \rightarrow \prod_{\alpha \in G} R_{\alpha^{-1}}^* \cong R^*.$$

We say that a group A -coring $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ is left homogeneously finite if every $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$ is finitely generated and projective as a left A -module. In this case ι is an isomorphism.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ be a G - A -coring, with left dual graded ring R . We have a functor $F_3 : \mathcal{M}^{\underline{\mathcal{C}}, \underline{\mathcal{C}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_R^G$, which is an isomorphism of categories if $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ is left homogeneously finite.*

Proof. Take $\underline{M} = (M_\alpha)_{\alpha \in G} \in \mathcal{M}^{\underline{\mathcal{C}}, \underline{\mathcal{C}}}$. The maps

$$\psi_{\alpha, \beta} : M_\alpha \otimes_A R_\beta \rightarrow M_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \psi_{\alpha, \beta}(m \otimes_A f) = m \cdot f = m_{[0, \alpha\beta]} f(m_{[1, \beta^{-1}]})$$

are well-defined, since

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\alpha, \beta}(ma \otimes_A f) &= m_{[0, \alpha\beta]} f(m_{[1, \beta^{-1}]} a) \\ &= m_{[0, \alpha\beta]}(a \cdot f)(m_{[1, \beta^{-1}]}) = \psi_{\alpha, \beta}(m \otimes_A a \cdot f). \end{aligned}$$

$\psi_{\alpha, \beta}$ is right A -linear:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\alpha, \beta}(m \otimes_A f \cdot a) &= m_{[0, \alpha\beta]}(f \cdot a)(m_{[1, \beta^{-1}]}) \\ &= m_{[0, \alpha\beta]} f(m_{[1, \beta^{-1}]} a) = \psi_{\alpha, \beta}(m \otimes_A f) a. \end{aligned}$$

We also compute that

$$m \cdot \varepsilon = \psi_{\alpha, e}(m \otimes_A \varepsilon) = m_{[0, \alpha]} \varepsilon(m_{[1, e]}) = m;$$

if $g \in R_\gamma$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} m \cdot (f \# g) &= m_{[0, \alpha\beta\gamma]}(f \# g)(m_{[1, (\beta\gamma)^{-1}]}) = m_{[0, \alpha\beta\gamma]} g(m_{[1, \gamma^{-1}]} f(m_{[2, \beta^{-1}]})) \\ &= (m_{[0, \alpha\beta]} f(m_{[1, \beta^{-1}]})) \cdot g = (m \cdot f) \cdot g. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} M_\alpha = F_3(\underline{M})$ is a G -graded R -module. For a morphism $\underline{f}: \underline{M} \rightarrow \underline{N}$ in $\mathcal{M}^{G, \mathcal{C}}$, we define $F_3(\underline{f}) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} f_\alpha$. $\square$

Before we prove the second part of Proposition 4.1, we state and prove two Lemmas.

Lemma 4.2. *Let A be a ring, and $M, P \in {}_A\mathcal{M}$, with M finitely generated and projective, with finite dual basis $f^{(\alpha)} \otimes_A m^{(\alpha)}$ (finite sum is implicitly understood). Then*

$$\sum_i f_i \otimes_A p_i = \sum_j g_j \otimes_A q_j \text{ in } {}^*M \otimes_A P$$

if and only if

$$\sum_i f_i(m) p_i = \sum_j g_j(m) q_j,$$

for all $m \in M$.

Proof. One direction is obvious. Conversely, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i f_i \otimes_A p_i &= \sum_i f^{(\alpha)} \cdot f_i(m^{(\alpha)}) \otimes_A p_i \\ &= \sum_i f^{(\alpha)} \otimes_A f_i(m^{(\alpha)}) p_i = \sum_j f^{(\alpha)} \otimes_A g_j(m^{(\alpha)}) q_j \\ &= \sum_j f^{(\alpha)} \cdot g_j(m^{(\alpha)}) \otimes_A q_j = \sum_j g_j \otimes_A q_j. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a left homogeneously finite G - A -coring. Let $f^{(\alpha)} \otimes_A c^{(\alpha)} \in R_{\alpha^{-1}} \otimes_A \mathcal{C}_\alpha$ be the finite dual basis of $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$ as a left A -module. Then*

$$(14) \quad f^{(\beta\gamma)} \otimes_A \Delta_{\beta,\gamma}(c^{(\beta\gamma)}) = f^{(\gamma)} \# f^{(\beta)} \otimes_A c^{(\beta)} \otimes_A c^{(\gamma)}.$$

Proof. For all $c \in \mathcal{C}_{\beta\gamma}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (f^{(\gamma)} \# f^{(\beta)})(c) c^{(\beta)} \otimes_A c^{(\gamma)} &= f^{(\beta)}(c_{(1,\beta)} f^{(\gamma)}(c_{(2,\gamma)})) c^{(\beta)} \otimes_A c^{(\gamma)} \\ &= c_{(1,\beta)} f^{(\gamma)}(c_{(2,\gamma)}) \otimes_A c^{(\gamma)} = c_{(1,\beta)} \otimes_A f^{(\gamma)}(c_{(2,\gamma)}) c^{(\gamma)} \\ &= c_{(1,\beta)} \otimes_A c_{(2,\gamma)} = \Delta_{\beta,\gamma}(c) \\ &= \Delta_{\beta,\gamma}(f^{(\beta\gamma)}(c) c^{(\beta\gamma)}) = f^{(\beta\gamma)}(c) \Delta_{\beta,\gamma}(c^{(\beta\gamma)}). \end{aligned}$$

(14) now follows after we apply Lemma 4.2, with $M = \mathcal{C}_{\beta\gamma}$ and $P = \mathcal{C}_\beta \otimes_A \mathcal{C}_\gamma$. $\square$

Proof (of the second part of Proposition 4.1). Assume that every $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$ is finitely generated and projective as a left A -module. Let M be a G -graded right R -module, and consider the maps

$$\rho_{\alpha,\beta}: M_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow M_\alpha \otimes_A \mathcal{C}_\beta, \quad \rho_{\alpha,\beta}(m) = m \cdot f^{(\beta)} \otimes_A c^{(\beta)}.$$

These maps make $(M_\alpha)_{\alpha \in G}$ into an object of $\mathcal{M}^{G, \mathcal{C}}$. We first verify the coassociativity conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}
((M_\alpha \otimes_A \Delta_{\beta, \gamma}) \circ \rho_{\alpha, \beta \gamma})(m) &= (M_\alpha \otimes_A \Delta_{\beta, \gamma})(m \cdot f^{(\beta \gamma)} \otimes_A c^{(\beta \gamma)}) \\
&= m \cdot f^{(\beta \gamma)} \otimes_A \Delta_{\beta, \gamma}(c^{(\beta \gamma)}) \stackrel{(14)}{=} m \cdot (f^{(\gamma)} \# f^{(\beta)}) \otimes_A c^{(\beta)} \otimes_A c^{(\gamma)} \\
&= (m \cdot f^{(\gamma)}) \cdot f^{(\beta)} \otimes_A c^{(\beta)} \otimes_A c^{(\gamma)} \\
&= (\rho_{\alpha, \beta} \otimes_A \mathcal{C}_\gamma)(m \cdot f^{(\gamma)} \otimes_A c^{(\gamma)}) = ((\rho_{\alpha, \beta} \otimes_A \mathcal{C}_\gamma) \circ \rho_{\alpha, \beta \gamma})(m).
\end{aligned}$$

The counit property can be verified as follows:

$$((M_\alpha \otimes_A \varepsilon) \circ \rho_{\alpha, e})(m) = m \cdot f^{(e)} \varepsilon(c^{(e)}) = m \cdot \varepsilon = m.$$

We have a functor $G_3 : \mathcal{M}_R^G \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{G, \mathcal{C}}$. On the objects, it is defined by $G_3(M) = \underline{M} = (M_\alpha)_{\alpha \in G}$. For a graded R -module map $f : M \rightarrow N$, we let $G_3(f)_\alpha : M_\alpha \rightarrow N_\alpha$ be the restriction of f to M_α .

We are done if we can show that F_3 and G_3 are inverses. First take a graded R -module M . Then $(F_3 \circ G_3)(M) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} M_\alpha = M$, with right R -action coinciding with the original right R -action, since

$$\psi_{\alpha, \beta}(m \otimes_A f) = m_{[0, \alpha \beta]} f(m_{[1, \beta^{-1}]}) = (m \cdot f^{(\beta^{-1})}) f(c^{(\beta^{-1})}) = m \cdot f.$$

Take $\underline{M} \in \mathcal{M}^{G, \mathcal{C}}$. Then $(G_3 \circ F_3)(\underline{M}) = G_3(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} M_\alpha) = (M_\alpha)_{\alpha \in G} = \underline{M}$. The coaction maps $\tilde{\rho}_{\alpha, \beta}$ on $(G_3 \circ F_3)(\underline{M})$ coincide with the coaction maps $\rho_{\alpha, \beta}$ on $\underline{M}$, since

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\rho}_{\alpha, \beta}(m) &= m \cdot f^{(\beta)} \otimes_A c^{(\beta)} = m_{[0, \alpha]} f^{(\beta)}(m_{[1, \beta]}) \otimes_A c^{(\beta)} \\
&= m_{[0, \alpha]} \otimes_A f^{(\beta)}(m_{[1, \beta]}) c^{(\beta)} = m_{[0, \alpha]} \otimes_A m_{[1, \beta]} = \rho_{\alpha, \beta}(m),
\end{aligned}$$

for all $m \in M_{\alpha \beta}$. $\square$

Proposition 4.4. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a G - A -coring, with left dual graded ring R . We have a functor $F_4 : \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_R$. F_4 is an equivalence of categories if $\mathcal{C}$ is left homogeneously finite, and G is a finite group.*

Proof. Let $(M, (\rho_\alpha)_{\alpha \in G}) \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{C}}$. For each $\alpha \in G$, the map

$$\psi_\alpha : M \otimes_A R_\alpha \rightarrow M, \quad \psi_\alpha(m \otimes_A f) = m_{[0]} f(m_{[1, \alpha^{-1}]}).$$

is well-defined, since

$$\psi_\alpha(ma \otimes_A f) = m_{[0]} f(m_{[1, \alpha^{-1}]}a) = m_{[0]}(a \cdot f)(m_{[1, \alpha^{-1}]}) = \psi_\alpha(m \otimes_A a \cdot f).$$

ψ_α is right A -linear since

$$\psi_\alpha(m \otimes_A f \cdot a) = m_{[0]}(f \cdot a)(m_{[1, \alpha^{-1}]}) = m_{[0]} f(m_{[1, \alpha^{-1}]})a = \psi_\alpha(m \otimes_A f)a.$$

We define a right R -action on M as follows:

$$m \cdot f = \sum_{\alpha \in G} \psi_\alpha(m \otimes_A f_\alpha),$$

for all $m \in M$ and $f = \sum_{\alpha \in G} f_\alpha \in \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} R_\alpha$. This makes M into a right R -module, since $m \cdot \varepsilon = m_{[0]} \varepsilon(m_{[1,e]}) = m$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (m \cdot f) \cdot g &= (m_{[0]} f(m_{[1,\alpha^{-1}]}) \cdot g = m_{[0]} g(m_{[1,\beta^{-1}]} f(m_{[2,\alpha^{-1}]}) \\ &= m_{[0]} (f \# g)(m_{[1,(\alpha\beta)^{-1}]}) = m \cdot (f \# g), \end{aligned}$$

for all $f \in R_\alpha$ and $g \in R_\beta$. We let $F_4(M) = M$ with the above action; if $f : M \rightarrow N$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{C}}$, then f is also right R -linear, and we define $F_4(f) = f$.

If G is finite and every $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$ is finitely generated and projective as a left A -module, then $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} \mathcal{C}_\alpha$ is a (G -graded) A -coring, that is finitely generated and projective as a left A -module. The left dual of the coring $\mathcal{C}$ is precisely the ring R , and $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{C}} \cong \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{C}} \cong \mathcal{M}_R$. $\square$

Let R be a G -graded ring. It is well-known (see for example [13, Theorem 2.5.1]) that we have a pair of adjoint functors (F_5, G_5) between the categories $\mathcal{M}_R^G$ and $\mathcal{M}_R$. F_5 is the functor forgetting the G -grading; G_5 is defined as follows: $G_5(M) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} \mu_\alpha(M)$, with right R -action

$$\mu_\alpha(m)r = \mu_{\alpha\beta}(mr),$$

for all $m \in M$ and $r \in R_\beta$.

Proposition 4.5. *Let $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ be a G - A -coring, with left dual G - A -ring R . Then we have the following commutative diagram of functors.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}^{G,\underline{\mathcal{C}}} & \xrightarrow{F_3} & \mathcal{M}_R^G \\ F_1 \uparrow \downarrow G_1 & & F_5 \uparrow \downarrow G_5 \\ \mathcal{M}^{\underline{\mathcal{C}}} & \xrightarrow{F_4} & \mathcal{M}_R \end{array}$$

Proposition 4.6. *The left dual of a cofree G - A -coring $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ is the group ring $R_e[G]$.*

Proof. For every $\alpha \in G$, we have A -bimodule isomorphisms

$$\gamma_{\alpha^{-1}} : \mathcal{C}_e \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{\alpha^{-1}},$$

$${}^*\gamma_{\alpha^{-1}} : {}^*\mathcal{C}_{\alpha^{-1}} = R_\alpha \rightarrow {}^*\mathcal{C}_e = R_e,$$

and

$$\sigma_\alpha = ({}^*\gamma_{\alpha^{-1}})^{-1} = {}^*(\gamma_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1}) : R_e \rightarrow R_\alpha.$$

We then have the following property, for $f \in R_e$ and $c \in \mathcal{C}_e$:

$$(\sigma_\alpha(f))(\gamma_{\alpha^{-1}}(c)) = ({}^*(\gamma_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1})(f))(\gamma_{\alpha^{-1}}(c)) = f(\gamma_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1}(\gamma_{\alpha^{-1}}(c))) = f(c).$$

Using the formula

$$\Delta_{\beta^{-1},\alpha^{-1}}(\gamma_{(\alpha\beta)^{-1}}(c)) = \gamma_{\beta^{-1}}(c_{(1)}) \otimes_A \gamma_{\alpha^{-1}}(c_{(2)}),$$

we compute, for all $c \in \mathcal{C}_e$ and $f, g \in R_e$ that

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma_\alpha(f) \# \sigma_\beta(g))(\gamma_{(\alpha\beta)^{-1}}(c)) &= \sigma_\beta(g) \left(\gamma_{\beta^{-1}}(c_{(1)}) \sigma_\alpha(f) (\gamma_{\alpha^{-1}}(c_{(2)})) \right) \\ &= \sigma_\beta(g) \left(\gamma_{\beta^{-1}}(c_{(1)}) f(c_{(2)}) \right) = \sigma_\beta(g) \left(\gamma_{\beta^{-1}}(c_{(1)}) f(c_{(2)}) \right) \\ &= g(c_{(1)}) f(c_{(2)}) = (f \# g)(c) = \sigma_{\alpha\beta}(f \# g)(\gamma_{(\alpha\beta)^{-1}}(c)). \end{aligned}$$

The map $\phi : R_e[G] \rightarrow R$, $\phi(ru_\alpha) = \sigma_\alpha(r)$ is a bijection. It follows from the above computations that it preserves the multiplication, so it is an isomorphism of rings. It is clear that it preserves the grading. $\square$

5. GALOIS GROUP CORINGS

Let $\underline{\mathcal{C}} = (\mathcal{C}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in G}$ be a G - A -coring. A family $\underline{x} = (x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in G} \in \prod_{\alpha \in G} \mathcal{C}_\alpha$ is called grouplike if $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(x_{\alpha\beta}) = x_\alpha \otimes_A x_\beta$ and $\varepsilon(x_e) = 1$, for all $\alpha, \beta \in G$.

Proposition 5.1. *There is a bijective correspondence between*

- *grouplike families of $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$;*
- *right $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ -comodule structures on A .*

Proof. Let $\underline{x}$ be grouplike; the maps

$$\rho_\alpha : A \rightarrow A \otimes_A \mathcal{C}_\alpha \cong \mathcal{C}_\alpha, \quad \rho_\alpha(a) = 1 \otimes_A x_\alpha a,$$

make A into an object of $\mathcal{M}^{\underline{\mathcal{C}}}$. Conversely, let $(A, (\rho_\alpha)_{\alpha \in G}) \in \mathcal{M}^{\underline{\mathcal{C}}}$, and let $x_\alpha = \rho_\alpha(1_A)$. Then $\rho_\alpha(a) = x_\alpha a$. $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in G}$ is grouplike since

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(x_{\alpha\beta}) &= ((A \otimes_A \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}) \circ \rho_{\alpha\beta})(1_A) = ((\rho_\alpha \otimes_A \mathcal{C}_\beta) \circ \rho_\beta)(1_A) \\ &= (\rho_\alpha \otimes_A \mathcal{C}_\beta)(1_A \otimes_A x_\beta) = x_\alpha \otimes_A x_\beta; \\ \varepsilon(x_e) &= ((A \otimes_A \varepsilon) \circ \rho_e)(1_A) = 1_A. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Example 5.2. Let $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ be a cofree group coring, and take a grouplike element $x \in G(\mathcal{C}_e)$. Then $(\gamma_\alpha(x))_{\alpha \in G}$ is a grouplike family, since $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(\gamma_{\alpha\beta}(x)) = \gamma_\alpha(x) \otimes_A \gamma_\beta(x)$ and $\varepsilon(\gamma_e(x)) = 1$.

Let $(\underline{\mathcal{C}}, \underline{x})$ be a G - A -coring with a fixed grouplike family. For $M \in \mathcal{M}^{\underline{\mathcal{C}}}$, we define

$$M^{\text{co}\underline{\mathcal{C}}} = \{m \in M \mid \rho_\alpha(m) = m \otimes_A x_\alpha, \forall \alpha \in G\}.$$

Then

$$T = A^{\text{co}\underline{\mathcal{C}}} = \{a \in A \mid ax_\alpha = x_\alpha a, \forall \alpha \in G\}$$

is a subring of A . If $B \rightarrow T$ is a morphism of rings, then we have, for all $m \in M^{\text{co}\underline{\mathcal{C}}}$ and $b \in B$ that

$$\rho_\alpha(mb) = m \otimes_A x_\alpha b = m \otimes_A bx_\alpha = mb \otimes_A x_\alpha,$$

so $mb \in M^{\text{co}\underline{\mathcal{C}}}$. It follows that $M^{\text{co}\underline{\mathcal{C}}} \in \mathcal{M}_B$.

Proposition 5.3. *With notation as above, we have a pair of adjoint functors $(F_6 = - \otimes_B A, G_6 = (-)^{\text{co}\underline{\mathcal{C}}})$ between the categories $\mathcal{M}_B$ and $\mathcal{M}^{\underline{\mathcal{C}}}$.*

Proof. Let $N \in \mathcal{M}_B$. On $N \otimes_B A$, we consider the following coaction maps:

$$\rho_\alpha : N \otimes_B A \rightarrow N \otimes_B A \otimes_A \mathcal{C}_\alpha \cong N \otimes_B \mathcal{C}_\alpha, \quad \rho_\alpha(n \otimes_B a) = n \otimes_B x_\alpha a.$$

It is straightforward to show that this makes $N \otimes_B A$ into an object of $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{C}}$. Take $N \in \mathcal{M}_B$ and $M \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{C}}$. We have an isomorphism

$$\phi : \text{Hom}^{\mathcal{C}}(N \otimes_B A, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_B(N, M^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}}),$$

given by

$$\phi(f)(n) = f(n \otimes_B 1_A) ; \quad \phi^{-1}(g)(n \otimes_B a) = g(n)a.$$

□

We also have a pair of adjoint functors between $\mathcal{M}_B$ and $\mathcal{M}^{G, \mathcal{C}}$. For $\underline{M} \in \mathcal{M}^{G, \mathcal{C}}$, we define

$$\underline{M}^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}} = \{(m_\alpha)_{\alpha \in G} \in \prod_{\alpha \in G} M_\alpha \mid \rho_{\alpha, \beta}(m_{\alpha\beta}) = m_\alpha \otimes_A x_\beta, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in G\}.$$

Then $\underline{M}^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}} \in \mathcal{M}_B$: if $(m_\alpha)_{\alpha \in G} \in \underline{M}^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}}$ and $b \in B$, then

$$\rho_{\alpha, \beta}(m_{\alpha\beta}b) = m_\alpha \otimes_A x_\beta b = m_\alpha \otimes_A b x_\beta = m_\alpha b \otimes_A x_\beta.$$

In Proposition 5.4, we use the functors G_1 and F_6 defined in Propositions 1.1 and 5.3.

Proposition 5.4. *With notation as above, we have a pair of adjoint functors $(F_7 = G_1 \circ F_6, G_7 = (-)^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}})$ between the categories $\mathcal{M}_B$ and $\mathcal{M}^{G, \mathcal{C}}$.*

Proof. Observe first that $F_7(N) = (\mu_\alpha(N \otimes_B A))_{\alpha \in G}$, with coaction maps $\rho_{\alpha, \beta} : \mu_{\alpha\beta}(N \otimes_B A) \rightarrow \mu_\alpha(N \otimes_B A) \otimes_A \mathcal{C}_\beta$ given by the formula

$$\rho_{\alpha, \beta}(\mu_{\alpha\beta}(n \otimes_B a)) = \mu_\alpha(n \otimes_B 1_A) \otimes_A x_\beta a.$$

Take $N \in \mathcal{M}_B$, $\underline{M} \in \mathcal{M}^{G, \mathcal{C}}$. We define a map

$$\phi : \text{Hom}^{G, \mathcal{C}}(F_7(N), \underline{M}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_B(N, \underline{M}^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}})$$

as follows. For a morphism $\underline{f} = (f_\alpha)_{\alpha \in G}$ from $F_7(N)$ to $\underline{M}$ in $\mathcal{M}^{G, \mathcal{C}}$, let

$$\phi(\underline{f})(n) = (f_\alpha(\mu_\alpha(n \otimes_B 1_A)))_{\alpha \in G}.$$

Then $\phi(\underline{f})(n) \in \underline{M}^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}}$, since

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{\alpha, \beta}(f_{\alpha\beta}(\mu_{\alpha\beta}(n \otimes_B 1_A))) &= (f_\alpha \otimes_A \mathcal{C}_\beta)(\rho_{\alpha, \beta}(\mu_{\alpha\beta}(n \otimes_B 1_A))) \\ &= (f_\alpha \otimes_A \mathcal{C}_\beta)(\mu_\alpha(n \otimes_B 1_A) \otimes_A x_\beta) \\ &= f_\alpha(\mu_\alpha(n \otimes_B 1_A)) \otimes_A x_\beta. \end{aligned}$$

We then define

$$\psi : \text{Hom}_B(N, \underline{M}^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}^{G, \mathcal{C}}(F_7(N), \underline{M})$$

as follows: for $g : N \rightarrow \underline{M}^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}} \subset \prod_{\alpha \in G} M_\alpha$ and $n \in N$, we write $g(n) = (g(n)_\alpha)_{\alpha \in G}$. Then we put

$$\psi(g)_\alpha(\mu_\alpha(n \otimes_B a)) = g(n)_\alpha a.$$

Let us show that $\psi(g)$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{M}^{G, \mathcal{C}}$.

$$\begin{aligned} & ((\psi(g)_\alpha \otimes_A \mathcal{C}_\beta) \circ \rho_{\alpha, \beta})(\mu_{\alpha\beta}(n \otimes_B a)) \\ &= (\psi(g)_\alpha \otimes_A \mathcal{C}_\beta)(\mu_\alpha(n \otimes_B 1_A) \otimes_A x_\beta a) = g(n)_\alpha \otimes_A x_\beta a \\ &= \rho_{\alpha, \beta}(g(n)_{\alpha\beta} a) = (\rho_{\alpha, \beta} \circ \psi(g)_{\alpha\beta})(\mu_{\alpha\beta}(n \otimes_B a)). \end{aligned}$$

We can easily show that ψ is inverse to ϕ . First, $(\psi \circ \phi)(\underline{f}) = \underline{f}$, since $(\psi \circ \phi)(\underline{f})_\alpha(\mu_\alpha(n \otimes_B a)) = f_\alpha(\mu_\alpha(n \otimes_B 1_A))a = f_\alpha(\mu_\alpha(n \otimes_B 1_A)a) = f_\alpha(\mu_\alpha(n \otimes_B a))$.

Secondly, $(\phi \circ \psi)(g) = g$, since $(\phi \circ \psi)(g)(n) = (\psi(g)_\alpha(\mu_\alpha(n \otimes_B 1_A)))_{\alpha \in G} = (g(n)_\alpha)_{\alpha \in G} = g(n)$. $\square$

Remark 5.5. If G is a finite group we can obtain (F_7, G_7) as the composition of the two pairs of adjoint functors (G_1, F_1) and (F_6, G_6) (see Propositions 1.1 and 5.3): $(F_7 = G_1 \circ F_6, G_7 = G_6 \circ F_1)$. Indeed, let us show that, for $\underline{M} \in \mathcal{M}^{G, \mathcal{C}}$,

$$(G_6 \circ F_1)(\underline{M}) = \left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} M_\alpha \right)^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}}$$

equals $\underline{M}^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}}$: $m = (m_\alpha)_{\alpha \in G}$ is in $(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} M_\alpha)^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}}$ if and only if $\rho_\beta(m) = m \otimes_A x_\beta$, for all $\beta \in G$, if and only if,

$$\sum_{\alpha \in G} m_{\alpha[0, \alpha\beta^{-1}]} \otimes_A m_{\alpha[1, \beta]} = \sum_{\alpha \in G} m_\alpha \otimes_A x_\beta = \sum_{\alpha \in G} m_{\alpha\beta^{-1}} \otimes_A x_\beta,$$

for all $\beta \in G$; this is equivalent to

$$\rho_{\alpha\beta^{-1}, \beta}(m_\alpha) = m_{\alpha\beta^{-1}} \otimes_A x_\beta,$$

or $\rho_{\alpha, \beta}(m_{\alpha\beta}) = m_\alpha \otimes_A x_\beta$, for all $\alpha, \beta \in G$, i.e. $m \in \underline{M}^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}}$.

Our next goal is to investigate when (F_7, G_7) is a pair of inverse equivalences. To this end, we will need the unit and counit of this adjunction. We first describe the unit η_7 :

$$\eta_{7, N} : N \rightarrow (\mu_\alpha(N \otimes_B A))_{\alpha \in G}^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}}, \quad \eta_{7, N}(n) = (\mu_\alpha(n \otimes_B 1_A))_{\alpha \in G}.$$

The counit ε_7 is the following:

$$\varepsilon_{7, \underline{M}, \alpha} : \mu_\alpha(\underline{M}^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}} \otimes_B A) \rightarrow M_\alpha, \quad \varepsilon_{7, \underline{M}, \alpha}(\mu_\alpha((m_\beta)_{\beta \in G} \otimes_B a)) = m_\alpha a.$$

We will proceed as in [5]. Let $\mathcal{D}_e = A \otimes_B A$ be the Sweedler canonical coring associated to the ring morphism $B \rightarrow A$. Recall from [3, 4, 14] that its comultiplication and counit are given by the formulas

$$\Delta(a \otimes_B b) = (a \otimes_B 1_A) \otimes_A (1_A \otimes_B b); \quad \varepsilon(a \otimes_B b) = ab.$$

Let $\underline{\mathcal{D}} = (A \otimes_B A)\langle G \rangle$ be the cofree group coring built on the Sweedler canonical coring.

Lemma 5.6. *We have a morphism of G - A -corings $\text{can} : \underline{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ given by*

$$\text{can}_\alpha(\mu_\alpha(a \otimes_B b)) = ax_\alpha b.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
& ((\text{can}_\alpha \otimes_A \text{can}_\beta) \circ \Delta_{\alpha,\beta})(\mu_{\alpha\beta}(a \otimes_B b)) \\
&= (\text{can}_\alpha \otimes_A \text{can}_\beta)(\mu_\alpha(a \otimes_B 1_A) \otimes_A \mu_\beta(1_A \otimes_B b)) \\
&= ax_\alpha \otimes_A x_\beta b = \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(ax_{\alpha\beta}b) = (\Delta_{\alpha,\beta} \circ \text{can}_{\alpha\beta})(\mu_{\alpha\beta}(a \otimes_B b)); \\
&\varepsilon(\text{can}_e(a \otimes_B b)) = \varepsilon(ax_e b) = ab = \varepsilon(a \otimes_B b).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 5.7. *With notation as in Proposition 5.4 and Lemma 5.6, we have the following properties.*

- (1) *If F_7 is fully faithful, then $i : B \rightarrow T$ is an isomorphism;*
- (2) *if G_7 is fully faithful, then $\underline{\text{can}} : \underline{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{C}}$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. 1) Let $\underline{A} = G_1(A) = (\mu_\alpha(A))_{\alpha \in G}$, with

$$\rho_{\alpha,\beta}(\mu_{\alpha\beta}(a)) = \mu_\alpha(1_A) \otimes_A x_\beta a.$$

Then $(\mu_\alpha(a_\alpha))_{\alpha \in G} \in \underline{A}^{\text{co}\underline{\mathcal{C}}}$ if and only if

$$\rho_{\alpha,\beta}(\mu_{\alpha\beta}(a_{\alpha\beta})) = \mu_\alpha(1_A) \otimes_A x_\beta a_{\alpha\beta} = \mu_\alpha(a_\alpha) \otimes_A x_\beta,$$

or

$$(15) \quad x_\beta a_{\alpha\beta} = a_\alpha x_\beta,$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in G$. We have an injective map

$$f : T = A^{\text{co}\underline{\mathcal{C}}} \rightarrow \underline{A}^{\text{co}\underline{\mathcal{C}}}, \quad f(a) = (\mu_\alpha(a))_{\alpha \in G}.$$

Indeed, if $a \in A^{\text{co}\underline{\mathcal{C}}}$, then $ax_\alpha = x_\alpha a$, for all $\alpha \in G$, and then (15) holds. If F_7 is fully faithful, then η_7 is a natural isomorphism. In particular, $\eta_{7,B} : B \rightarrow \underline{A}^{\text{co}\underline{\mathcal{C}}}$ is an isomorphism. We have that

$$\eta_{7,B}(b) = (\mu_\alpha(b1_A))_{\alpha \in G} = ((\mu_\alpha \circ i)(b))_{\alpha \in G} = (f \circ i)(b).$$

From the fact that $\eta_{7,B}$ is surjective, it follows that f is surjective, so f is an isomorphism. Since $\eta_{7,B} = f \circ i$, it follows that i is an isomorphism.

2) $\underline{\mathcal{C}} \in \mathcal{M}^{G,\underline{\mathcal{C}}}$, with coaction maps $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}$. We have an isomorphism

$$f : A \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{co}\underline{\mathcal{C}}}, \quad f(a) = (ax_\alpha)_{\alpha \in G}.$$

$f(a) \in \underline{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{co}\underline{\mathcal{C}}}$ since

$$\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(ax_{\alpha\beta}) = ax_\alpha \otimes_A x_\beta.$$

The inverse g of f is defined as follows:

$$g((c_\alpha)_{\alpha \in G}) = \varepsilon(c_e).$$

It is clear that $(g \circ f)(a) = a$. For $\underline{c} = (c_\alpha)_{\alpha \in G} \in \underline{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{co}\underline{\mathcal{C}}}$, we have $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(c_{\alpha\beta}) = c_\alpha \otimes_A x_\beta$, and, in particular, $\Delta_{e,\beta}(c_\beta) = c_e \otimes_A x_\beta$. It follows from (3) that $c_\beta = \varepsilon(c_e)x_\beta$. Then we compute that

$$(f \circ g)(\underline{c}) = f(\varepsilon(c_e)) = (\varepsilon(c_e)x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in G} = \underline{c}.$$

If G_7 is fully faithful, then ε_7 is a natural isomorphism. In particular,

$$\varepsilon_{7,\underline{\mathcal{C}},\alpha} : \mu_\alpha(\underline{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{co}\underline{\mathcal{C}}} \otimes_B A) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\alpha, \quad \varepsilon_{7,\underline{\mathcal{C}},\alpha}(\mu_\alpha(\underline{\mathcal{C}} \otimes_B a)) = c_\alpha a,$$

is an isomorphism. Now we compute that can_α equals the composition

$$\mu_\alpha(A \otimes_B A) \xrightarrow{\mu_\alpha(f \otimes_B A)} \mu_\alpha(\underline{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{co}\underline{\mathcal{C}}} \otimes_B A) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{7,\underline{\mathcal{C}},\alpha}} \mathcal{C}_\alpha.$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\varepsilon_{7,\underline{\mathcal{C}},\alpha} \circ (\mu_\alpha(f \otimes_B A)))(\mu_\alpha(a \otimes_B b)) \\ &= \varepsilon_{7,\underline{\mathcal{C}},\alpha}(\mu_\alpha((ax_\beta)_{\beta \in G} \otimes_B b)) = ax_\alpha b = \text{can}_\alpha(\mu_\alpha(a \otimes_B b)). \end{aligned}$$

□

Recall (see e.g. [3, 4, 5]) that an A -coring with fixed grouplike element $(\mathcal{C}_e, x_e)$ is called a Galois coring if the map

$$\text{can} : A \otimes_{A^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}_e}} A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_e, \quad \text{can}(a \otimes_{A^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}_e}} b) = ax_e b$$

is an isomorphism of corings. Proposition 5.7 suggests the following definition.

Definition 5.8. Let $(\underline{\mathcal{C}}, \underline{x})$ be a G - A -coring with a fixed grouplike family. We say that $(\underline{\mathcal{C}}, \underline{x})$ is Galois if

$$\underline{\text{can}} : \underline{\mathcal{D}} = (A \otimes_{A^{\text{co}\underline{\mathcal{C}}}} A) \langle G \rangle \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{C}}$$

is an isomorphism of group corings.

If $(\underline{\mathcal{C}}, \underline{x})$ is a G - A -coring with a fixed grouplike family, then it is clear that $A^{\text{co}\underline{\mathcal{C}}} \subset A^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}_e}$. We will now show that this inclusion is an equality if $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ is cofree. If $\underline{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{C}_e \langle G \rangle$ is a cofree G - A -coring, and $\underline{x}$ a grouplike family of $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ such that $x_\alpha = \gamma_\alpha(x_e)$, for all $\alpha \in G$, then we will say that $(\underline{\mathcal{C}}, \underline{x})$ is a cofree group coring with a fixed grouplike family.

Lemma 5.9. *Let $(\underline{\mathcal{C}}, \underline{x})$ be a cofree group coring with a fixed grouplike family. Then $A^{\text{co}\underline{\mathcal{C}}} = A^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}_e}$.*

Proof. If $a \in A^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}_e}$, then $ax_e = x_e a$, hence for all $\alpha \in G$, we have that

$$ax_\alpha = a\gamma_\alpha(x_e) = \gamma_\alpha(ax_e) = \gamma_\alpha(x_e a) = \gamma_\alpha(x_e)a = x_\alpha a,$$

and it follows that $a \in A^{\text{co}\underline{\mathcal{C}}}$. □

Proposition 5.10. *For a G - A -coring with a fixed grouplike family $(\underline{\mathcal{C}}, \underline{x})$, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $(\underline{\mathcal{C}}, \underline{x})$ is a Galois group coring;
- (2) $(\underline{\mathcal{C}}, \underline{x})$ is a cofree group coring with a fixed grouplike family, and $(\mathcal{C}_e, x_e)$ is a Galois coring.

Proof. 1) $\Rightarrow$ 2). $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ is cofree, since $\underline{\text{can}} : \underline{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{C}}$ is an isomorphism, and $\underline{\mathcal{D}}$ is cofree. The isomorphisms $\gamma_\alpha : \mathcal{C}_e \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\alpha$ are obtained as follows: $\gamma_\alpha = \text{can}_\alpha \circ \mu_\alpha \circ \text{can}_e^{-1}$. In particular, $\gamma_\alpha(x_e) = \text{can}_\alpha(\mu_\alpha(1 \otimes_{A^{\text{co}\underline{\mathcal{C}}}} 1)) = x_\alpha$, and it follows from Lemma 5.9 that $A^{\text{co}\underline{\mathcal{C}}} = A^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}_e}$. From the fact that $\underline{\text{can}}$ is an isomorphism, it follows that $\text{can}_e : A \otimes_{A^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}_e}} A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_e$, $\text{can}_e(a \otimes b) = ax_e b$

is an isomorphism.

2) $\Rightarrow$ 1). It follows from Lemma 5.9 that $A^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}} = A^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}_e}$. The maps $\text{can}_\alpha : \mu_\alpha(A \otimes_{A^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}}} A) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_\alpha = \gamma_\alpha(\mathcal{C}_e)$ are given by

$$\text{can}_\alpha(\mu_\alpha(a \otimes b)) = ax_\alpha b = a\gamma_\alpha(x_e)b = \gamma_\alpha(ax_e b) = (\gamma_\alpha \circ \text{can}_e)(a \otimes b),$$

so $\text{can}_\alpha = \gamma_\alpha \circ \text{can}_e$ is an isomorphism. $\square$

Let $(\mathcal{C}_e, x_e)$ be an A -coring with fixed grouplike element, and let $i : B \rightarrow A^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}_e}$ be a ring morphism. Recall from [3] or [5, Sec. 1] that we have a pair of adjoint functors $(F_8 = - \otimes_B A, G_8 = (-)^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}_e})$ between $\mathcal{M}_B$ and $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{C}_e}$. Then the following statements are equivalent (cf. [5, Prop. 3.1 and 3.8]):

- (1) $B = A^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}_e}$, $(\mathcal{C}_e, x_e)$ is Galois, and A is faithfully flat as a left B -module;
- (2) (F_8, G_8) is a pair of inverse equivalences, and A is flat as a left B -module.

Lemma 5.11. *Let $(\underline{\mathcal{C}}, \underline{x})$ be a cofree G - A -coring with a fixed grouplike family. Let $i : B \rightarrow A^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}} \cong A^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}_e}$ be a ring morphism. Then $F_7 \cong F_2 \circ F_8$ and $G_7 \cong G_8 \circ G_2$. Here (F_2, G_2) are defined as in Theorem 2.2, and (F_7, G_7) as in Proposition 5.4.*

Proof. For $N \in \mathcal{M}_B$, we calculate easily that

$$(F_2 \circ F_8)(N) = F_2(N \otimes_B A) = (\nu_\alpha(N \otimes_B A))_{\alpha \in G},$$

with coaction maps

$$\rho_{\alpha, \beta}(\nu_{\alpha\beta}(n \otimes_B a)) = \nu_\alpha(n \otimes_B 1_A) \otimes_A \gamma_\beta(x_e a) = \nu_\alpha(n \otimes_B 1_A) \otimes_A x_\beta a.$$

We then see that $(F_2 \circ F_8)(N) \cong F_7(N)$. From the uniqueness of the adjoint functor, it then follows that $G_7 \cong G_8 \circ G_2$. $\square$

Theorem 5.12. *Let $(\underline{\mathcal{C}}, \underline{x})$ be a G - A -coring with a fixed grouplike family, and $i : B \rightarrow A^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}}$ a ring morphism. Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (1) $B \cong A^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}}$, $(\underline{\mathcal{C}}, \underline{x})$ is a Galois group coring, and A is faithfully flat as a left B -module;
- (2) (F_7, G_7) is a pair of inverse equivalences between the categories $\mathcal{M}_B$ and $\mathcal{M}^{G, \mathcal{C}}$ and A is flat as a left B -module.

Proof. 1) $\Rightarrow$ 2). It follows from Proposition 5.10 that $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ is cofree, and $x_\alpha = \gamma_\alpha(x_e)$, and $(\underline{\mathcal{C}}, \underline{x})$ is a Galois coring. We deduce from Lemma 5.9 that $B \cong A^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}} = A^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}_e}$. It follows from Theorem 2.2 that F_2 is an equivalence, and from the observations preceding Lemma 5.11 that F_8 is an equivalence. Consequently $F_7 \cong F_2 \circ F_8$ is an equivalence.

2) $\Rightarrow$ 1). It follows from Proposition 5.7 that $B \cong A^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}}$ and that $(\underline{\mathcal{C}}, \underline{x})$ is a Galois group coring. From Proposition 5.10, it follows that $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ is cofree, $x_\alpha = \gamma_\alpha(x_e)$. Then it follows from Theorem 2.2 that F_2 is an equivalence. From Lemma 5.11, it follows that $F_7 \cong F_2 \circ F_8$ is an equivalence, hence F_8

is an equivalence. It follows from the observations preceding Lemma 5.11 that A is faithfully flat as a left B -module. $\square$

6. GRADED MORITA CONTEXTS

Let R be a G -graded ring, and $M, N \in \mathcal{M}_R^G$. A right R -linear map $f : M \rightarrow N$ is called homogeneous of degree σ if $f(M_\alpha) \subset N_{\sigma\alpha}$, for all $\alpha \in G$. The additive group of all right R -module maps $M \rightarrow N$ of degree σ is denoted by $\text{HOM}_R(M, N)_\sigma$, and we let

$$\text{HOM}_R(M, N) = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} \text{HOM}_R(M, N)_\sigma.$$

Let S and R be G -graded rings. A G -graded Morita context connecting S and R is a Morita context $(S, R, P, Q, \varphi, \psi)$ with the following additional structure: P and Q are graded bimodules, and the maps $\varphi : P \otimes_R Q \rightarrow S$ and $\psi : Q \otimes_S P \rightarrow R$ are homogeneous of degree e . Graded Morita contexts have been studied in [2, 9, 11].

It is well-known (see [1, Sec. II.4]) that we can associate a Morita context to a module. This construction can be generalized to the graded case as follows. Let P be a G -graded right R -module. Then $S = \text{END}_R(P)$ is a G -graded ring, and $Q = \text{HOM}_R(P, R) \in {}_R\mathcal{M}_S^G$, with structure

$$(16) \quad (r \cdot q \cdot s)(p) = rq(s(p)),$$

for all $r \in R$, $s \in S$, $q \in Q$ and $p \in P$. The connecting maps are the following

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : P \otimes_R Q &\rightarrow S, \quad \varphi(p \otimes_R q)(p') = pq(p'); \\ \psi : Q \otimes_S P &\rightarrow R, \quad \psi(q \otimes_S p) = q(p). \end{aligned}$$

Straightforward computations then show that $(S, R, P, Q, \varphi, \psi)$ is a graded Morita context.

Example 6.1. Let $\mathbb{M}_e = (S_e, R_e, P_e, Q_e, \varphi_e, \psi_e)$ be a Morita context, and consider the group rings $S = S_e[G]$ and $R = R_e[G]$. Then $P = P_e[G] = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} P_e u_\sigma \in {}_S\mathcal{M}_R^G$ and $Q = Q_e[G] = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} Q_e u_\sigma \in {}_R\mathcal{M}_S^G$, with

$$(su_\sigma)(pu_\tau)(ru_\rho) = spru_{\sigma\tau\rho} \text{ and } (ru_\sigma)(qu_\tau)(su_\rho) = rqsu_{\sigma\tau\rho},$$

for all $\sigma, \tau, \rho \in G$, $r \in R_e$, $s \in S_e$, $p \in P_e$, $q \in Q_e$. We have well-defined maps

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : P \otimes_R Q &\rightarrow S, \quad \varphi(pu_\sigma \otimes_R qu_\tau) = \varphi_e(p \otimes_{R_e} q)u_{\sigma\tau}; \\ \psi : Q \otimes_S P &\rightarrow R, \quad \psi(qu_\sigma \otimes_S pu_\tau) = \psi_e(q \otimes_{S_e} p)u_{\sigma\tau}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathbb{M}_e[G] = (S, R, P, Q, \varphi, \psi)$ is a graded Morita context.

Let $P \in \mathcal{M}_R^G$ be a graded R -module, where $R = R_e[G]$ is a group ring. By the Structure Theorem for graded modules over strongly graded rings, $P = P_e[G]$. Let $\mathbb{M}_e$ be the Morita context associated to the right R_e -module P_e . It is then straightforward to verify that $\mathbb{M}_e[G]$ is the graded Morita context associated to P .

7. MORITA CONTEXTS ASSOCIATED TO A GROUP CORING

Let $(\underline{\mathcal{C}}, \underline{x})$ be a G - A -coring with a fixed grouplike family. We have seen in Proposition 5.1 that $A \in \mathcal{M}^{\underline{\mathcal{C}}}$. The map

$$\chi : R \rightarrow A, \chi(f) = \sum_{\alpha \in G} f_{\alpha}(x_{\alpha^{-1}})$$

is a right grouplike character (see [10, Sec. 2]; the terminology was introduced in [7]). This means that χ satisfies the following properties:

- (1) χ is right A -linear;
- (2) $\chi(\chi(f) \cdot g) = \chi(f \# g)$;
- (3) $\chi(\varepsilon) = 1_A$.

Verification of these properties is left to the reader; using the right grouplike character χ or Proposition 4.4, we find that $A \in \mathcal{M}_R$, with structure $a \leftarrow f = f(x_{\beta^{-1}}a)$, for $f \in R_{\beta}$. We have already considered the subring $T = A^{\text{co}\underline{\mathcal{C}}}$ of A . T is a subring of

$$T' = A^R = \{a \in A \mid f_{\alpha^{-1}}(ax_{\alpha}) = f_{\alpha^{-1}}(x_{\alpha}a), \forall \alpha \in G, \forall f_{\alpha^{-1}} \in R_{\alpha^{-1}}\}.$$

If $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ is left homogeneously finite, then $T = T'$: if $a \in T'$, then we find, using the same notation as in Lemma 4.3, that

$$ax_{\alpha} = f^{(\alpha)}(ax_{\alpha})c^{(\alpha)} = f^{(\alpha)}(x_{\alpha}a)c^{(\alpha)} = x_{\alpha}a,$$

so $a \in T$. From [10, Prop. 2.2], it follows that we have a Morita context $\mathbb{M}' = (T', R, A, O', \tau', \mu')$. Recall that

$$O' = R^R = \{q \in R \mid q \# f = q \cdot \chi(f), \forall f \in R\}.$$

This means that $q = \sum_{\alpha \in G} q_{\alpha} \in O'$ if and only if $q_{\alpha} \# f = q_{\alpha\beta} \cdot f(x_{\beta^{-1}})$, for all $\alpha, \beta \in G$ and $f \in R_{\beta}$, or, equivalently, for all $c \in \mathcal{C}_{(\alpha\beta)^{-1}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(c_{(1,\beta^{-1})}q_{\alpha}(c_{(2,\alpha^{-1})})) &= (q_{\alpha} \# f)(c) \\ &= (q_{\alpha\beta} \cdot f(x_{\beta^{-1}}))(c) = q_{\alpha\beta}(c)f(x_{\beta^{-1}}) = f(q_{\alpha\beta}(c)x_{\beta^{-1}}). \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} O' &= \{q \in R \mid f(c_{(1,\beta^{-1})}q_{\alpha}(c_{(2,\alpha^{-1})})) = f(q_{\alpha\beta}(c)x_{\beta^{-1}}), \\ &\quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in G, f \in R_{\beta}, c \in \mathcal{C}_{(\alpha\beta)^{-1}}\}. \end{aligned}$$

The connecting maps are the following:

$$\tau' : A \otimes_R O' \rightarrow T', \tau'(a \otimes_R q) = \sum_{\alpha \in G} q_{\alpha}(x_{\alpha^{-1}}a);$$

$$\mu' : O' \otimes_{T'} A \rightarrow R, \mu'(q \otimes_{T'} a) = q \cdot a.$$

Now consider

$$O = \{q \in R \mid c_{(1,\beta^{-1})}q_{\alpha}(c_{(2,\alpha^{-1})}) = q_{\alpha\beta}(c)x_{\beta^{-1}}, \forall \alpha, \beta \in G, c \in \mathcal{C}_{(\alpha\beta)^{-1}}\}.$$

It is clear that $O \subset O'$, and that $O = O'$ if $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ is left homogeneously finite.

Proposition 7.1. *We have a Morita context $\mathbb{M} = (T, R, A, O, \tau, \mu)$, with τ and μ defined by*

$$\tau : A \otimes_R O \rightarrow T, \quad \tau(a \otimes_R q) = \sum_{\alpha \in G} q_\alpha(x_{\alpha^{-1}}a);$$

$$\mu : O \otimes_T A \rightarrow R, \quad \mu(q \otimes_T a) = q \cdot a.$$

If $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ is left homogeneously finite, then the Morita contexts $\mathbb{M}$ and $\mathbb{M}'$ are isomorphic.

Proof. We will show that O is a left ideal of R . All the other verifications are straightforward. Take $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in G$, $q \in O$ and $f \in R_\gamma$. $f \# q \in O$ since we have, for all $c \in \mathcal{C}_{(\gamma\alpha\beta)^{-1}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} c_{(1,\beta^{-1})}(f \# q_\alpha)(c_{(2,(\gamma\alpha)^{-1})}) &= c_{(1,\beta^{-1})}q_\alpha(c_{(2,\alpha^{-1})}f(c_{(3,\gamma^{-1})})) \\ &= q_{\alpha\beta}(c_{(1,(\alpha\beta)^{-1})}f(c_{(2,\gamma^{-1})}))x_{\beta^{-1}} = (f \# q_{\alpha\beta})(c)x_{\beta^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

8. GRADED MORITA CONTEXTS ASSOCIATED TO A GROUP CORING

Let $(\underline{\mathcal{C}}, \underline{x})$ be a G - A -coring with a fixed grouplike family. Then $A \in \mathcal{M}^{\underline{\mathcal{C}}}$ (see Proposition 5.1). From Proposition 1.1, it follows that $G_1(A) = (\mu_\alpha(A))_{\alpha \in G} \in \mathcal{M}^{G, \underline{\mathcal{C}}}$, with coaction maps

$$\rho_{\alpha, \beta} : \mu_{\alpha\beta}(A) \rightarrow \mu_\alpha(A) \otimes_A \mathcal{C}_\beta, \quad \rho_{\alpha, \beta}(\mu_{\alpha\beta}(a)) = \mu_\alpha(1_A) \otimes_A x_\beta a.$$

From Proposition 4.1, we then obtain that

$$A\{G\} = F_3 G_1(A) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} \mu_\alpha(A) \in \mathcal{M}_R^G.$$

The right R -action is defined by the following formula, for $f \in R_\beta$:

$$(17) \quad \mu_\alpha(a) \cdot f = \mu_{\alpha\beta}(f(x_{\beta^{-1}}a)).$$

We will compute the graded Morita context associated to the graded right R -module $A\{G\}$. Consider the rings

$$S' = \{\underline{b} = (b_\alpha)_{\alpha \in G} \in \prod_{\alpha \in G} A \mid f(b_{\alpha\beta}x_{\beta^{-1}}) = f(x_{\beta^{-1}}b_\alpha), \forall \alpha, \beta \in G, f \in R_\beta\},$$

$$S = \{\underline{b} = (b_\alpha)_{\alpha \in G} \in \prod_{\alpha \in G} A \mid b_{\alpha\beta}x_{\beta^{-1}} = x_{\beta^{-1}}b_\alpha, \forall \alpha, \beta \in G\}.$$

Clearly $S \subset S'$, and $S = S'$ if $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ is left homogeneously finite. Observe that we have ring monomorphisms

$$i : T \rightarrow S, \quad i(b) = (b)_{\alpha \in G}, \quad i' : T' \rightarrow S', \quad i'(b) = (b)_{\alpha \in G}.$$

On S and S' , we have the following right G -action:

$$(18) \quad \underline{b}^\sigma = (b_{\sigma\alpha})_{\alpha \in G}.$$

Indeed, if $\underline{b} \in S$, then $\underline{b}^\sigma \in S$, since $b_{\sigma\alpha\beta}x_{\beta^{-1}} = x_{\beta^{-1}}b_{\sigma\alpha}$, for all $\alpha, \beta \in G$. In the same way, $S'^\sigma \subset S'$.

Lemma 8.1. *With notation as above, we have that $S^G = T$ and $S'^G = T'$.*

Proof. Using the monomorphism i , we find immediately that $T \subset S^G$. If $\underline{b} \in S^G$, then for all $\sigma \in G$, we have that $(b_{\sigma\alpha})_{\alpha \in G} = (b_\alpha)_{\alpha \in G}$, hence $b_\sigma = b_e$, and $\underline{b} = i(b_e)$. $\square$

Now we consider the twisted group rings $G * S = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} u_\alpha S$ and $G * S' = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} u_\alpha S'$, with multiplication

$$u_\alpha \underline{b} u_\beta \underline{c} = u_{\alpha\beta} \underline{b}^\beta \underline{c}.$$

Proposition 8.2. *We have a graded ring isomorphism $\Xi : \text{END}_R(A\{G\}) \rightarrow G * S'$.*

Proof. For every $\sigma \in G$, we have an additive bijection $\Xi_\sigma : \text{END}_R(A\{G\})_\sigma \rightarrow u_\sigma S'$, $\Xi_\sigma(h) = u_\sigma \underline{b}$, with

$$(19) \quad b_\alpha = (\mu_{\sigma\alpha}^{-1} \circ h \circ \mu_\alpha)(1_A).$$

h is completely determined by $\underline{b}$, since

$$(20) \quad h(\mu_\alpha(a)) = h(\mu_\alpha(1_A))a = \mu_{\sigma\alpha}(b_\alpha)a = \mu_{\sigma\alpha}(b_\alpha a),$$

for all $a \in A$. Since h is right R -linear, we have, for all $\beta \in G$ and $f \in R_\beta$ that

$$h(\mu_\alpha(1_A) \cdot f) = h(\mu_{\alpha\beta}(f(x_{\beta^{-1}}))) \stackrel{(20)}{=} \mu_{\sigma\alpha\beta}(f(b_{\alpha\beta}x_{\beta^{-1}}))$$

equals

$$h(\mu_\alpha(1_A)) \cdot f = \mu_{\sigma\alpha}(b_\alpha) \cdot f = \mu_{\sigma\alpha\beta}(f(x_{\beta^{-1}}b_\alpha)),$$

so it follows that $f(b_{\alpha\beta}x_{\beta^{-1}}) = f(x_{\beta^{-1}}b_\alpha)$, for all $\alpha, \beta \in G$ and $f \in R_{\beta^{-1}}$. This means that $\underline{b} \in S'$.

The inverse of Ξ_σ is defined as follows: given $\underline{b} \in S'$, $\Xi_\sigma^{-1}(u_\sigma \underline{b}) = h$ is defined by (20). The proof is finished if we can show that

$$\Xi = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} \Xi_\sigma : \text{END}_R(A\{G\}) \rightarrow G * S'$$

preserves the multiplication and the unit. Take $h \in \text{END}_R(A\{G\})_\sigma$, $k \in \text{END}_R(A\{G\})_\tau$, and let $\Xi_\sigma(h) = u_\sigma \underline{b}$ and $\Xi_\tau(k) = u_\tau \underline{c}$. Then $k \circ h \in \text{END}_R(A\{G\})_{\tau\sigma}$, and $\Xi_{\tau\sigma}(k \circ h) = u_{\tau\sigma} \underline{d}$, with

$$\begin{aligned} d_\alpha &= (\mu_{\tau\sigma\alpha}^{-1} \circ k \circ h \circ \mu_\alpha)(1_A) = (\mu_{\tau\sigma\alpha}^{-1} \circ k \circ \mu_{\sigma\alpha} \circ \mu_{\sigma\alpha}^{-1} \circ h \circ \mu_\alpha)(1_A) \\ &= (\mu_{\tau\sigma\alpha}^{-1} \circ k \circ \mu_{\sigma\alpha})(b_\alpha) = (\mu_{\tau\sigma\alpha}^{-1} \circ k \circ \mu_{\sigma\alpha})(1_A)b_\alpha = c_{\sigma\alpha}b_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that $\underline{d} = \underline{c}^\sigma \underline{b}$, and $\Xi_{\tau\sigma}(k \circ h) = u_{\tau\sigma} \underline{c}^\sigma \underline{b} = (u_\tau \underline{c})(u_\sigma \underline{b})$. Finally, $\Xi_e(A\{G\}) = u_e \underline{b}$, with $b_\alpha = (\mu_\alpha^{-1} \circ A\{G\} \circ \mu_\alpha)(1_A) = 1_A$. $\square$

Our next aim is to describe $\text{HOM}_R(A\{G\}, R)$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= \{\underline{q} = (q_\alpha)_{\alpha \in G} \in \prod_{\alpha \in G} R_\alpha \mid c_{(1, \beta^{-1})} q_\alpha(c_{(2, \alpha^{-1})}) = q_{\alpha\beta}(c) x_{\beta^{-1}}, \\ &\quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in G, c \in \mathcal{C}_{(\alpha\beta)^{-1}}\}; \\ Q' &= \{\underline{q} = (q_\alpha)_{\alpha \in G} \in \prod_{\alpha \in G} R_\alpha \mid f(c_{(1, \beta^{-1})} q_\alpha(c_{(2, \alpha^{-1})})) = f(q_{\alpha\beta}(c) x_{\beta^{-1}}), \\ &\quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in G, c \in \mathcal{C}_{(\alpha\beta)^{-1}}, f \in R_\beta\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $Q \subset Q'$ and that $Q = Q'$ if $\mathcal{C}$ is left homogeneously finite.

Lemma 8.3. *If $f \in R_\gamma$ and $\underline{q} \in Q$ (resp. Q'), then $f \cdot \underline{q} = (f \# q_{\gamma^{-1}\alpha})_{\alpha \in G} \in Q$ (resp. Q').*

Proof. We will prove the first statement; the proof of the second one is similar. For all $c \in \mathcal{C}_{(\alpha\beta)^{-1}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_{(1, \beta^{-1})}(f \# q_{\gamma^{-1}\alpha})(c_{(2, \alpha^{-1})}) &= c_{(1, \beta^{-1})} q_{\gamma^{-1}\alpha}(c_{(2, \alpha^{-1}\gamma)} f(c_{(3, \gamma^{-1})})) \\ &= q_{\gamma^{-1}\alpha\beta}(c_{(1, \beta^{-1}\alpha^{-1}\gamma)} f(c_{(2, \gamma^{-1})})) x_{\beta^{-1}} = (f \# q_{\gamma^{-1}\alpha\beta})(c) x_{\beta^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 8.4. *If $\underline{q} \in Q$ (resp. Q') and $\underline{b} \in S$ (resp. S'), then $\underline{q} \cdot \underline{b} = (q_\alpha \cdot b_\alpha)_{\alpha \in G} \in Q$ (resp. Q').*

Proof. The first statement is easily verified by the following computations:

$$\begin{aligned} c_{(1, \beta^{-1})}(q_\alpha \cdot b_\alpha)(c_{(2, \alpha^{-1})}) &= c_{(1, \beta^{-1})} q_\alpha(c_{(2, \alpha^{-1})}) b_\alpha = q_{\alpha\beta}(c) x_{\beta^{-1}} b_\alpha \\ &= q_{\alpha\beta}(c) b_{\alpha\beta} x_{\beta^{-1}} = (q_{\alpha\beta} \cdot b_{\alpha\beta})(c) x_{\beta^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 8.5.

$$QG = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} \omega_\alpha(Q) \in {}_R \mathcal{M}_{G*S}^G \text{ and } Q'G = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} \omega_\alpha(Q') \in {}_R \mathcal{M}_{G*S'}^G$$

with bimodule structures defined as follows, for all $f \in R_\beta$, $\underline{q} \in Q$ (resp. Q') and $\underline{b} \in S$ (resp. S'):

$$(21) \quad f \cdot \omega_\alpha(\underline{q}) = \omega_{\beta\alpha}(f \cdot \underline{q});$$

$$(22) \quad \omega_\alpha(\underline{q}) \cdot u_\tau \underline{b} = \omega_{\alpha\tau}(\underline{q} \cdot \underline{b}^{(\alpha\tau)^{-1}}).$$

Proposition 8.6. *We have an isomorphism of graded bimodules*

$$\Psi : \text{HOM}_R(A\{G\}, R) \rightarrow Q'G.$$

Proof. We have an additive bijection

$$\Psi_\sigma : \text{HOM}_R(A\{G\}, R)_\sigma \rightarrow \omega_\sigma(Q'), \quad \Psi_\sigma(\varphi) = \omega_\sigma(\underline{q}),$$

with $q_\alpha = \varphi(\mu_{\sigma^{-1}\alpha}(1_A))$. $\underline{q}$ determines φ completely since

$$(23) \quad \varphi(\mu_\alpha(a)) = \varphi(\mu_\alpha(1_A)) \cdot a = q_{\sigma\alpha} \cdot a.$$

Take $\beta \in G$ and $f \in R_\beta$. Since φ is right R -linear, we have that

$$\varphi(\mu_{\sigma^{-1}\alpha}(1_A) \cdot f) = \varphi(\mu_{\sigma^{-1}\alpha\beta}f(x_{\beta^{-1}})) \stackrel{(23)}{=} q_{\alpha\beta} \cdot f(x_{\beta^{-1}})$$

equals

$$\varphi(\mu_{\sigma^{-1}\alpha}(1_A)) \# f = q_\alpha \# f.$$

We then have, for all $c \in \mathcal{C}_{(\alpha\beta)^{-1}}$ that

$$f(q_{\alpha\beta}(c)x_{\beta^{-1}}) = q_{\alpha\beta}(c)f(x_{\beta^{-1}}) = (q_\alpha \# f)(c) = f(c_{(1,\beta^{-1})}q_\alpha(c_{(2,\alpha^{-1})})),$$

and it follows that $\underline{q} \in Q'$. For $\underline{q} \in Q'$, $\Psi_\sigma^{-1}(\omega_\sigma(\underline{q})) = \varphi$ is defined by (23).

We now have an additive bijection

$$\Psi = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} \Psi_\sigma : \text{HOM}_R(A\{G\}, R) \rightarrow Q'G.$$

Ψ is left R -linear: take $f \in R_\beta$, $\varphi \in \text{HOM}_R(A\{G\}, R)_\sigma$, and put $\Psi_\sigma(\varphi) = \omega_\sigma(\underline{q})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{\beta\sigma}(f \cdot \varphi) &= \omega_{\beta\sigma} \left((f \cdot \varphi)(\mu_{\sigma^{-1}\beta^{-1}\alpha}(1_A)) \right)_{\alpha \in G} \\ &= \omega_{\beta\sigma} \left(f \# q_{\beta^{-1}\alpha} \right)_{\alpha \in G} = f \cdot \omega_\sigma(\underline{q}) = f \cdot \Psi_\sigma(\varphi). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, Ψ transports the right $\text{END}_R(A\{G\})$ -action on $\text{HOM}_R(A\{G\}, R)$ to the right $G * S'$ -action on $Q'G$: take $h \in \text{END}_R(A\{G\})_\tau$, and write $\Xi_\tau(h) = u_\tau \underline{b}$. Then

$$(\varphi \circ h)(\mu_{\tau^{-1}\sigma^{-1}\alpha}(1_A)) = \varphi(\mu_{\sigma^{-1}\alpha}(b_{\tau^{-1}\sigma^{-1}\alpha})) = q_\alpha \cdot b_{\tau^{-1}\sigma^{-1}\alpha},$$

hence

$$\Psi_{\sigma\tau}(\varphi \circ h) = \omega_{\sigma\tau}(\underline{q} \cdot \underline{b}^{(\sigma\tau)^{-1}}) = \omega_\sigma(\underline{q}) \cdot u_\tau \underline{b} = \Psi_\sigma(\varphi) \cdot \Xi_\tau(h).$$

□

Theorem 8.7. *Consider the graded Morita context $(\text{END}_R(A\{G\}), R, A\{G\}, \text{HOM}_R(A\{G\}, R), \phi, \psi)$ associated to the graded R -module $A\{G\}$. Using the isomorphisms Ξ and Ψ from Propositions 8.2 and 8.6, we find an isomorphic graded Morita context $\mathbb{GM}' = (G * S', R, A\{G\}, Q'G, \omega', \nu')$, with connecting maps ω' and ν' given by the formulas*

$$\begin{aligned} \omega' : A\{G\} \otimes_R Q'G &\rightarrow G * S', \\ \omega'(\mu_\alpha(a) \otimes_R \omega_\sigma(\underline{q})) &= u_{\alpha\sigma} \left(q_{\sigma\beta}(x_{(\sigma\beta)^{-1}}a) \right)_{\beta \in G}; \\ \nu' : Q'G \otimes_{G*S'} A\{G\} &\rightarrow R, \\ \nu'(\omega_\sigma \underline{q} \otimes \mu_\alpha(a)) &= q_{\sigma\alpha} \cdot a. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have to show that the following two diagrams commute

$$(24) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A\{G\} \otimes_R \text{HOM}_R(A\{G\}, R) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \text{END}_R(A\{G\}) \\ A\{G\} \otimes_R \Psi \downarrow & & \downarrow \Xi \\ A\{G\} \otimes_R Q'G & \xrightarrow{\omega'} & G * S' \end{array}$$

$$(25) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{HOM}_R(A\{G\}, R) \otimes_{\mathrm{END}_R(A\{G\})} A\{G\} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & R \\ \Psi \otimes A\{G\} \downarrow & & \downarrow = \\ Q'G \otimes_{G*S'} A\{G\} & \xrightarrow{\nu'} & R \end{array}$$

For all $\alpha, \beta, \sigma \in G$, $a, b \in A$, $\varphi \in \mathrm{HOM}_R(A\{G\}, R)_\sigma$, we have

$$\phi(\mu_\alpha(a) \otimes_R \varphi)(\mu_\beta(b)) = \mu_\alpha(a) \cdot \varphi(\mu_\beta(b)) \stackrel{(17)}{=} \mu_{\alpha\sigma\beta}(\varphi(\mu_\beta(b))(x_{(\sigma\beta)^{-1}}a)),$$

so $(\Xi \circ \phi)(\mu_\alpha(a) \otimes_R \varphi) = u_{\alpha\sigma}\underline{b}$, with

$$b_\beta = \mu_{\alpha\sigma\beta}^{-1}(\phi(\mu_\alpha(a) \otimes_R \varphi)(\mu_\beta(1_A))) = \varphi(\mu_\beta(1_A))(x_{(\sigma\beta)^{-1}}a).$$

Now $\mu_\alpha(a) \otimes_R \Psi(\varphi) = \mu_\alpha(a) \otimes_R \omega_\sigma(\underline{q})$, with $q_\beta = \varphi(\mu_{\sigma^{-1}\beta}(1_A))$, so $\omega'(\mu_\alpha(a) \otimes_R \Psi(\varphi)) = u_{\alpha\sigma}\underline{c}$, with

$$c_\beta = q_{\sigma\beta}(x_{(\sigma\beta)^{-1}}a) = \varphi(\mu_\beta(1_A))(x_{(\sigma\beta)^{-1}}a) = b_\beta.$$

This proves the commutativity of (24). (25) also commutes: let $q_\alpha = \varphi(\mu_{\sigma^{-1}\alpha}(1_A))$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\nu' \circ (\Psi \otimes A\{G\}))(\varphi \otimes \mu_\alpha(a)) &= \nu'(\omega_\sigma(\underline{q}) \otimes \mu_\alpha(a)) = q_{\sigma\alpha} \cdot a \\ &= \varphi(\mu_\alpha(1_A)) \cdot a = \varphi(\mu_\alpha(a)) = \psi(\varphi \otimes \mu_\alpha(a)). \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 8.8. *Let $(\underline{\mathcal{C}}, \underline{x})$ be a G - A -coring with a fixed grouplike family. We have a second graded Morita context $\mathbb{GM} = (G * S, R, A\{G\}, QG, \omega, \nu)$, with connecting maps ω and ν given by the formulas*

$$\begin{aligned} \omega : A\{G\} \otimes_R QG &\rightarrow G * S, \\ \omega(\mu_\alpha(a) \otimes_R \omega_\sigma(\underline{q})) &= u_{\alpha\sigma} \left(q_{\sigma\beta}(x_{(\sigma\beta)^{-1}}a) \right)_{\beta \in G}; \\ \nu : QG \otimes_{G*S} A\{G\} &\rightarrow R, \\ \nu(\omega_\sigma \underline{q} \otimes \mu_\alpha(a)) &= q_{\sigma\alpha} \cdot a. \end{aligned}$$

If $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ is left homogeneously finite, then the Morita contexts $\mathbb{GM}'$ and $\mathbb{GM}$ are isomorphic.

Let $(\mathcal{C}_e, x_e)$ be an A -coring with a fixed grouplike element. Recall also from [10] that we have two Morita contexts

$$\mathbb{M}_e = (T_e, R_e, A, Q_e, \varphi_e, \psi_e) \text{ and } \mathbb{M}'_e = (T'_e, R_e, A, Q'_e, \varphi'_e, \psi'_e),$$

where (see [10])

$$\begin{aligned} Q_e &= \{q \in R_e = {}^* \mathcal{C}_e \mid c_{(1)}q(c_{(2)}) = q(c)x_e, \forall c \in \mathcal{C}_e\}; \\ Q'_e &= \{q \in R_e = {}^* \mathcal{C}_e \mid f(c_{(1)}q(c_{(2)})) = f(q(c)x_e), \forall c \in \mathcal{C}_e \text{ and } f \in R_e\}; \\ T_e &= A^{\mathrm{co}\mathcal{C}_e} = \{a \in A \mid ax_e = x_e a\}; \\ T'_e &= A^{R_e} = \{a \in A \mid f(ax_e) = f(x_e a), \forall f \in R_e\}; \\ \varphi_e : A \otimes_{R_e} Q_e &\rightarrow T_e, \varphi_e(a \otimes_{R_e} q) = q(x_e a); \end{aligned}$$

$$\psi_e : Q_e \otimes_{T_e} A \rightarrow R_e, \quad \psi_e(q \otimes_{T_e} a) = q \cdot a.$$

φ'_e and ψ'_e are defined in a similar way. There is a morphism from $\mathbb{M}_e$ to $\mathbb{M}'_e$, which is an isomorphism if $\mathcal{C}_e$ is finitely generated and projective as a left A -module.

Proposition 8.9. *Let $(\underline{\mathcal{C}}, \underline{x})$ be a cofree group coring with a fixed grouplike family. Then we have an isomorphism of G -graded R -modules*

$$\vartheta : A\{G\} \rightarrow A[G], \quad \vartheta(\mu_\alpha(a)) = au_\alpha.$$

Consequently the graded Morita context $\mathbb{GM}'$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{M}'_e[G]$.

Proof. We have to show that ϑ is right R -linear. Take $f \in R_e = {}^*\mathcal{C}_e$, $\sigma_\beta(f) \cong fu_\beta \in R_\beta \cong R_e u_\beta$ (see Proposition 4.6). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\alpha(a) \cdot \sigma_\beta(f) &\stackrel{(17)}{=} \mu_{\alpha\beta}(\sigma_\beta(f)(x_{\beta^{-1}}a)) = \mu_{\alpha\beta}((f \circ \gamma_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1})(x_{\beta^{-1}}a)) \\ &= \mu_{\alpha\beta}(f((\gamma_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1} \circ \gamma_{\beta^{-1}})(x_e)a)) = \mu_{\alpha\beta}(f(x_ea)), \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\vartheta(\mu_\alpha(a) \cdot \sigma_\beta(f)) = f(x_ea)u_{\alpha\beta} = (a \leftarrow f)u_{\alpha\beta} = (au_\alpha) \cdot (fu_\beta) = \vartheta(\mu_\alpha(a)) \cdot \sigma_\beta(f).$$

The second statement then follows from Example 6.1. $\square$

Our next aim is to show that the graded Morita contexts $\mathbb{GM}$ and $\mathbb{M}_e[G]$ are also isomorphic.

Proposition 8.10. *Let $(\underline{\mathcal{C}}, \underline{x})$ be a cofree group coring with a fixed group-like family. Then $i : T \rightarrow S$ and $i' : T' \rightarrow S'$ are isomorphisms, and $\text{END}_R(A\{G\}) \cong G * S'$ (resp. $G * S$) is isomorphic as a graded ring to the group ring $T'[G]$ (resp. $T[G]$).*

Proof. It suffices to show that i and i' are surjective. First take $\underline{b} \in S$. Then we have for $\alpha, \beta \in G$ that

$$\gamma_{\beta^{-1}}(b_{\alpha\beta}x_e) = b_{\alpha\beta}\gamma_{\beta^{-1}}(x_e) = \gamma_{\beta^{-1}}(x_e)b_\alpha = \gamma_{\beta^{-1}}(x_eb_\alpha).$$

Applying $\varepsilon \circ \gamma_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1}$ to both sides, we find that $b_{\alpha\beta} = b_\alpha$, hence $b_\alpha = b_e$, for all $\alpha \in G$, and $\underline{b} = i(b_e)$. In a similar way, we find for $\underline{b} \in S'$, $\alpha, \beta \in G$ and $f \in R_\beta = {}^*\mathcal{C}_{\beta^{-1}}$ that $f(\gamma_{\beta^{-1}}(b_{\alpha\beta}x_e)) = f(\gamma_{\beta^{-1}}(x_eb_\alpha))$. Taking $f = \varepsilon \circ \gamma_{\beta^{-1}}^{-1}$, we find that $\underline{b} = i'(b_e)$. $\square$

We have that $T \subset T_e$ and $T' \subset T'_e$. As follows from Lemma 5.9, these inclusions are equalities if $(\underline{\mathcal{C}}, \underline{x})$ is a cofree group coring with a fixed grouplike family.

Proposition 8.11. *Let $(\underline{\mathcal{C}}, \underline{x})$ be a cofree group coring with a fixed grouplike family. Then $Q \cong Q_e$ and $Q' \cong Q'_e$. Consequently $\text{Hom}_R(A\{G\}, R) \cong Q'_e[G]$.*

Proof. We recall from Proposition 4.6 that $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} \sigma_\alpha(R_e)$, with $\sigma_\alpha(f) = f \circ \gamma_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1}$, for all $f \in R_e$. Now take $\underline{q} = (\sigma_\alpha(q_\alpha))_{\alpha \in G} \in \prod_{\alpha \in G} R_\alpha$. Then for all $\alpha, \beta \in G$ and $c \in \mathcal{C}_e$

$$\gamma_{\beta^{-1}}(c_{(1)})(q_\alpha \circ \gamma_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1} \circ \gamma_{\alpha^{-1}})(c_{(2)}) = (q_{\alpha\beta} \circ \gamma_{(\alpha\beta)^{-1}}^{-1} \circ \gamma_{(\alpha\beta)^{-1}})(c)\gamma_{\beta^{-1}}(x_e),$$

or

$$\gamma_{\beta^{-1}}(c_{(1)})q_\alpha(c_{(2)}) = q_{\alpha\beta}(c)\gamma_{\beta^{-1}}(x_e),$$

or

$$c_{(1)}q_\alpha(c_{(2)}) = q_{\alpha\beta}(c)x_e.$$

Taking $\alpha = \beta = e$, we find that $q_e \in Q_e$. Applying ε to both sides, we find that $q_\alpha(c) = q_{\alpha\beta}(c)$, and $q_\alpha = q_e$, for all $\alpha \in G$. These arguments show that the map

$$j : Q_e \rightarrow Q, j(q) = (\sigma_\alpha(q))_{\alpha \in G}$$

is a well-defined isomorphism. In a similar way, we prove that $Q' \cong Q'_e$. $\square$

Theorem 8.12. *Let $(\mathcal{C}, \underline{x})$ be a cofree group coring with a fixed grouplike family. Then the graded Morita contexts $\mathbb{G}\mathbb{M}$ and $\mathbb{M}_e[G]$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. Let $\Theta : T[G] \rightarrow G * S$ be the isomorphism from Proposition 8.10. We will first show that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A\{G\} \otimes_R QG & \xrightarrow{\omega} & G * S \\ \vartheta \otimes j^{-1}G \downarrow & & \uparrow \Theta \\ A[G] \otimes_{R_e[G]} Q_e[G] & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & T_e[G] = T[G] \end{array}$$

commutes. For $\alpha, \sigma \in G$, $a \in A$ and $\underline{q} \in Q$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Theta \circ \varphi \circ (\vartheta \otimes j^{-1}G))(\mu_\alpha(a) \otimes \omega_\sigma(\underline{q})) \\ &= (\Theta \circ \varphi)(au_\alpha \otimes q_e u_\sigma) = \Theta(q_e(x_e a)u_{\alpha\sigma}) \\ &= u_{\alpha\sigma}(q_e(x_e a))_{\beta \in G} = u_{\alpha\sigma}((q_e \circ \gamma_{(\sigma\beta)^{-1}}^{-1} \circ \gamma_{(\sigma\beta)^{-1}})(x_e a))_{\beta \in G} \\ &= u_{\alpha\sigma}(q_{\sigma\beta}(x_{(\sigma\beta)^{-1}} a))_{\beta \in G} = \omega(\mu_\alpha(a) \otimes \omega_\sigma(\underline{q})). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\phi : R_e[G] \rightarrow R$, $\phi(fu_\alpha) = f \circ \gamma_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1}$ be the isomorphism from Proposition 4.6. We will show that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} QG \otimes_{G*S} A\{G\} & \xrightarrow{\nu} & R \\ j^{-1}G \otimes \vartheta \downarrow & & \uparrow \phi \\ Q_e[G] \otimes_{T_e[G]} A[G] & \xrightarrow{\psi} & R_e[G] \end{array}$$

commutes. Take $\alpha, \sigma \in G$, $\underline{q} \in Q$ and $a \in A$. We have seen in Proposition 8.11 that $q_\alpha = q_e \circ \gamma_{\alpha^{-1}}^{-1}$, or

$$(26) \quad q_e = q_\alpha \circ \gamma_{\alpha^{-1}}.$$

We have to show that

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi \circ \psi \circ (j^{-1}G \otimes \vartheta))(\omega_\sigma(\underline{q}) \otimes \mu_\alpha(a)) &= (\phi \circ \psi)(q_e u_\sigma \otimes a u_\alpha) \\ &= \phi((q_e \cdot a)u_{\sigma\alpha}) = q_e \cdot a \circ \gamma_{(\sigma\alpha)^{-1}}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\nu(\omega_\sigma(\underline{q}) \otimes \mu_\alpha(a)) = q_{\sigma\alpha} \cdot a$$

are equal in $R_{\sigma\alpha} = {}^*\mathcal{C}_{(\sigma\alpha)^{-1}}$. For $\gamma_{(\sigma\alpha)^{-1}}(c) \in \mathcal{C}_{(\sigma\alpha)^{-1}}$, we compute that

$$\begin{aligned} (q_{\sigma\alpha} \cdot a)(\gamma_{(\sigma\alpha)^{-1}}(c)) &= (q_{\sigma\alpha} \circ \gamma_{(\sigma\alpha)^{-1}})(c)a \stackrel{(26)}{=} q_e(c)a \\ &= (q_e \cdot a)(c) = (q_e \cdot a \circ \gamma_{(\sigma\alpha)^{-1}}^{-1})(\gamma_{(\sigma\alpha)^{-1}}(c)), \end{aligned}$$

as needed. This finishes our proof. $\square$

9. GALOIS GROUP CORINGS AND GRADED MORITA CONTEXTS

Let us call a G - A -coring $\underline{\mathcal{C}} = (\mathcal{C}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in G}$ a left homogeneous progenerator if every $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$ is a left A -progenerator. We will now apply the Morita theory developed in the previous Section to find some equivalent properties for a left homogeneous progenerator group coring to be Galois. Recall from [5] the following Theorem.

Theorem 9.1. *Let $(\mathcal{C}_e, x_e)$ be an A -coring with a fixed grouplike element, and assume that $\mathcal{C}_e$ is a left A -progenerator. We take a subring B of $T_e = A^{\text{co}\mathcal{C}_e} = \{a \in A \mid ax_e = x_e a\}$, and consider the map*

$$\text{can}'_e : \mathcal{D}' = A \otimes_B A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_e, \text{can}'_e(a \otimes_B b) = axb.$$

Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) • can'_e is an isomorphism of corings;
• A is faithfully flat as a left B -module.
- (2) • ${}^*\text{can}'_e$ is an isomorphism of rings;
• A is a left B -progenerator.
- (3) • $B = T_e$;
• the Morita context $\mathbb{M}_e = (T_e, R_e, A, Q_e, \varphi_e, \psi_e)$ is strict.
- (4) • $B = T_e$;
• (F_8, G_8) is an equivalence of categories.

Here $\mathbb{M}_e$ is the Morita context introduced in the light of Proposition 8.9, and (F_8, G_8) the adjoint pair of functors considered before Lemma 5.11. In the next Theorem we use the graded Morita context $\mathbb{GM}$ of Theorem 8.8, and the adjoint pair of functors (F_7, G_7) of Proposition 5.4.

Theorem 9.2. *Let $(\underline{\mathcal{C}}, \underline{x})$ be a left homogeneous progenerator G - A -coring with a fixed grouplike family. We take a subring B of $T = A^{\text{co}\underline{\mathcal{C}}} \subset T_e$, and consider the map*

$$\underline{\text{can}}' : \underline{\mathcal{D}}' = (A \otimes_B A)\langle G \rangle \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{C}}, \underline{\text{can}}'_\alpha(\mu_\alpha(a \otimes_B b)) = ax_\alpha b.$$

Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) • $\underline{\text{can}}'$ is an isomorphism of group corings;

- A is faithfully flat as a left B -module.
- (2) • ${}^*\underline{\text{can}}'$ is an isomorphism of graded rings;
- A is a left B -progenerator.
- (3) • $B = T \cong S$;
- the graded Morita context $\mathbb{GM} = (G * S, R, A\{G\}, QG, \omega, \nu)$ is strict.
- (4) • $B = T$;
- (F_7, G_7) is an equivalence of categories.

Proof. 1) $\Rightarrow$ 2). Obviously ${}^*\underline{\text{can}}'$ is an isomorphism if $\underline{\text{can}}'$ is an isomorphism. In particular, can'_e is an isomorphism of corings, hence it follows from Theorem 9.1 that A is a left B -progenerator.

2) $\Rightarrow$ 1). Suppose that ${}^*\underline{\text{can}}' : {}^*\underline{\mathcal{C}} = R \rightarrow {}^*\underline{\mathcal{D}}' = R'$ is an isomorphism. We then have that the right dual $({}^*\underline{\text{can}}')^* : R'^* \rightarrow R^*$, $({}^*\underline{\text{can}}')^*(\varphi) = \varphi \circ {}^*\underline{\text{can}}'$ is also an isomorphism. Since $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ and $\underline{\mathcal{D}}'$ are left homogeneously finite, this map can be interpreted as the isomorphism

$$f = \iota^{-1} \circ ({}^*\underline{\text{can}}')^* \circ \iota' : \prod_{\alpha \in G} \mathcal{D}'_{\alpha} \rightarrow \prod_{\alpha \in G} \mathcal{C}_{\alpha},$$

where we denoted respectively ι and ι' for the isomorphisms $\prod_{\alpha \in G} \mathcal{C}_{\alpha} \cong R^*$ and $\prod_{\alpha \in G} \mathcal{D}'_{\alpha} \cong R'^*$ (see the beginning of Section 4). For all $\underline{d} = (d_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in G} \in \prod_{\alpha \in G} \mathcal{D}'_{\alpha}$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} f(\underline{d}) &= (\iota^{-1} \circ ({}^*\underline{\text{can}}')^* \circ \iota')(\underline{d}) = \iota^{-1}(\iota'(\underline{d}) \circ {}^*\underline{\text{can}}') \\ &= ((\iota'(\underline{d}) \circ {}^*\underline{\text{can}}')(f^{(\alpha)})_{c^{(\alpha)}})_{\alpha \in G} = (\iota'(\underline{d})(f^{(\alpha)} \circ \text{can}'_{\alpha})_{c^{(\alpha)}})_{\alpha \in G} \\ &= (f^{(\alpha)}(\text{can}'_{\alpha}(d_{\alpha}))_{c^{(\alpha)}})_{\alpha \in G} = (\text{can}'_{\alpha}(d_{\alpha}))_{\alpha \in G}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $f = \prod_{\alpha \in G} \text{can}'_{\alpha}$. Now, since $f = \prod_{\alpha \in G} \text{can}'_{\alpha}$ is an isomorphism, it follows that all can'_{α} are isomorphisms. Indeed, $(\text{can}'_{\alpha})^{-1} = p'_{\alpha} \circ f^{-1} \circ i_{\alpha} : \mathcal{C}_{\alpha} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'_{\alpha}$, where i_{α} and p'_{α} are the canonical injections and projections, respectively. So the inverse of $\underline{\text{can}}'$ is given by $(\underline{\text{can}}')^{-1} = ((\text{can}'_{\alpha})^{-1})_{\alpha \in G}$. Finally, since in particular ${}^*\text{can}'_e$ is an isomorphism, Theorem 9.1 implies that A is faithfully flat as a left B -module.

1) $\Rightarrow$ 3). As in the proof of Proposition 5.10, $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ is a cofree group coring with a fixed grouplike family, since $\underline{\text{can}}' : \underline{\mathcal{D}}' \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{C}}$ is an isomorphism, and $\underline{\mathcal{D}}'$ is cofree. By Lemma 5.9 we then have that $T = T_e$. Hence it follows from Theorem 9.1 and the fact that can'_e is an isomorphism that $B = T$ and that the Morita context $\mathbb{M}_e$ is strict. It is easily verified that the graded Morita context $\mathbb{M}_e[G]$ then also is strict, and likewise $\mathbb{GM}$, see Theorem 8.12. From Proposition 8.10 we get $T \cong S$.

4) $\Rightarrow$ 1). By Proposition 5.7 $\underline{\text{can}}$ is an isomorphism, i.e. $(\underline{\mathcal{C}}, \underline{x})$ is Galois. Hence (see Proposition 5.10) $(\underline{\mathcal{C}}, \underline{x})$ is a cofree group coring with a fixed grouplike familie, and thus Lemma 5.9 implies that $B = T = T_e$. Since $(F_7 \cong F_2 \circ F_8, G_7 \cong G_8 \circ G_2)$ and (F_2, G_2) are equivalences (see Lemma 5.11

and Theorem 2.2), it follows that also (F_8, G_8) is an equivalence of categories. Finally it follows from Theorem 9.1 that A is faithfully flat as a left B -module.

3) $\Rightarrow$ 4). Suppose that $\mathbb{GM} = (G * S, R, A\{G\}, QG, \omega, \nu)$ is a strict graded Morita context. We then have a pair of inverse equivalences $(\tilde{F} = - \otimes_{G*S} A\{G\}, \tilde{G} = - \otimes_R QG)$ between the categories $\mathcal{M}_{G*S}^G$ and $\mathcal{M}_R^G$. By Proposition 8.10 we have that $G * S$ and $T[G]$ are isomorphic as graded rings. As a consequence the categories $\mathcal{M}_{G*S}^G$ and $\mathcal{M}_{T[G]}^G$ are isomorphic, and the latter is in turn isomorphic with $\mathcal{M}_T$ by the Structure Theorem for graded modules over strongly graded rings. Making use of the pair of functors (F_3, G_3) which constitutes an isomorphism between $\mathcal{M}^{G, \mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{M}_R^G$ (see Proposition 4.1), we have the following pair $(\tilde{F}_7, \tilde{G}_7)$ of inverse equivalences between $\mathcal{M}_T$ and $\mathcal{M}^{G, \mathcal{L}}$:

$$\tilde{F}_7 : \mathcal{M}_T \cong \mathcal{M}_{T[G]}^G \cong \mathcal{M}_{G*S}^G \xrightleftharpoons[\tilde{G}]{\tilde{F}} \mathcal{M}_R^G \xrightleftharpoons[F_3]{G_3} \mathcal{M}^{G, \mathcal{L}} : \tilde{G}_7.$$

For $M \in \mathcal{M}_T$ we have that

$$\tilde{F}_7(M) = \left((M[G] \otimes_{G*S} A\{G\})_\alpha \right)_{\alpha \in G} \in \mathcal{M}^{G, \mathcal{L}},$$

where we denote $(M[G] \otimes_{G*S} A\{G\})_\alpha$ for the α th homogeneous component of $M[G] \otimes_{G*S} A\{G\} \in \mathcal{M}_R^G$. The coaction maps of $\tilde{F}_7(M)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\rho}_{\alpha, \beta} : (M[G] \otimes_{G*S} A\{G\})_{\alpha\beta} &\rightarrow (M[G] \otimes_{G*S} A\{G\})_\alpha \otimes_A \mathcal{C}_\beta, \\ \tilde{\rho}_{\alpha, \beta} &\left(\sum_{\gamma \in G} m_\gamma u_\gamma \otimes_{G*S} \mu_{\gamma^{-1}\alpha\beta}(a_\gamma) \right) \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in G} m_\gamma u_\gamma \otimes_{G*S} \mu_{\gamma^{-1}\alpha\beta}(a_\gamma) \cdot f^{(\beta)} \otimes_A c^{(\beta)} \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in G} m_\gamma u_\gamma \otimes_{G*S} \mu_{\gamma^{-1}\alpha}(f^{(\beta)}(x_\beta a_\gamma)) \otimes_A c^{(\beta)}. \end{aligned}$$

We now claim that $\tilde{F}_7 \cong F_7$. For $M \in \mathcal{M}_T$ and $\alpha \in G$, we consider the map

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{M, \alpha} : (M[G] \otimes_{G*S} A\{G\})_\alpha &\rightarrow \mu_\alpha(M \otimes_T A), \\ \varphi_{M, \alpha} \left(\sum_{\gamma \in G} m_\gamma u_\gamma \otimes_{G*S} \mu_{\gamma^{-1}\alpha}(a_\gamma) \right) &= \mu_\alpha \left(\sum_{\gamma \in G} m_\gamma \otimes_T a_\gamma \right). \end{aligned}$$

$\varphi_{M, \alpha}$ is well-defined, since

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{M, \alpha} \left(\sum_{\gamma \in G} m_\gamma u_\gamma \cdot u_e b \otimes_{G*S} \mu_{\gamma^{-1}\alpha}(a_\gamma) \right) \\ = \varphi_{M, \alpha} \left(\sum_{\gamma \in G} m_\gamma b_e u_\gamma \otimes_{G*S} \mu_{\gamma^{-1}\alpha}(a_\gamma) \right) = \mu_\alpha \left(\sum_{\gamma \in G} m_\gamma b_e \otimes_T a_\gamma \right) \end{aligned}$$

equals

$$\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{M,\alpha} \left(\sum_{\gamma \in G} m_\gamma u_\gamma \otimes_{G*S} u_e \underline{b} \cdot \mu_{\gamma^{-1}\alpha}(a_\gamma) \right) \\
&= \varphi_{M,\alpha} \left(\sum_{\gamma \in G} m_\gamma u_\gamma \otimes_{G*S} \mu_{\gamma^{-1}\alpha}(b_{\gamma^{-1}\alpha} a_\gamma) \right) \\
&= \varphi_{M,\alpha} \left(\sum_{\gamma \in G} m_\gamma u_\gamma \otimes_{G*S} \mu_{\gamma^{-1}\alpha}(b_e a_\gamma) \right) = \mu_\alpha \left(\sum_{\gamma \in G} m_\gamma \otimes_T b_e a_\gamma \right),
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\underline{b} = i(b_e) \in S$. Clearly $\varphi_{M,\alpha}$ is right A -linear. Let us check that $\varphi_M = (\varphi_{M,\alpha})_{\alpha \in G} : \widetilde{F_7}(M) \rightarrow F_7(M)$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{M}^{G,\mathcal{C}}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
& ((\varphi_{M,\alpha} \otimes_A \mathcal{C}_\beta) \circ \widetilde{\rho}_{\alpha,\beta}) \left(\sum_{\gamma \in G} m_\gamma u_\gamma \otimes_{G*S} \mu_{\gamma^{-1}\alpha\beta}(a_\gamma) \right) \\
&= \sum_{\gamma \in G} \varphi_{M,\alpha} (m_\gamma u_\gamma \otimes_{G*S} \mu_{\gamma^{-1}\alpha}(f^{(\beta)}(x_\beta a_\gamma))) \otimes_A c^{(\beta)} \\
&= \sum_{\gamma \in G} \mu_\alpha(m_\gamma \otimes_T f^{(\beta)}(x_\beta a_\gamma)) \otimes_A c^{(\beta)} \\
&= \sum_{\gamma \in G} \mu_\alpha(m_\gamma \otimes_T 1_A) f^{(\beta)}(x_\beta a_\gamma) \otimes_A c^{(\beta)} \\
&= \sum_{\gamma \in G} \mu_\alpha(m_\gamma \otimes_T 1_A) \otimes_A f^{(\beta)}(x_\beta a_\gamma) c^{(\beta)} \\
&= \sum_{\gamma \in G} \mu_\alpha(m_\gamma \otimes_T 1_A) \otimes_A x_\beta a_\gamma = \rho_{\alpha,\beta} \left(\mu_{\alpha\beta} \left(\sum_{\gamma \in G} m_\gamma \otimes_T a_\gamma \right) \right) \\
&= (\rho_{\alpha,\beta} \circ \varphi_{M,\alpha\beta}) \left(\sum_{\gamma \in G} m_\gamma u_\gamma \otimes_{G*S} \mu_{\gamma^{-1}\alpha\beta}(a_\gamma) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Let us finally show that φ_M is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{M}^{G,\mathcal{C}}$. It suffices to check that the inverse of $\varphi_{M,\alpha}$ is given by

$$\varphi_{M,\alpha}^{-1} \left(\mu_\alpha \left(\sum_{i=1}^n m_i \otimes_T a_i \right) \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i u_e \otimes_{G*S} \mu_\alpha(a_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i u_\alpha \otimes_{G*S} \mu_e(a_i) :$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\varphi_{M,\alpha}^{-1} \circ \varphi_{M,\alpha}) \left(\sum_{\gamma \in G} m_\gamma u_\gamma \otimes_{G*S} \mu_{\gamma^{-1}\alpha}(a_\gamma) \right) \\
&= \varphi_{M,\alpha}^{-1} \left(\mu_\alpha \left(\sum_{\gamma \in G} m_\gamma \otimes_T a_\gamma \right) \right) = \sum_{\gamma \in G} m_\gamma u_e \otimes_{G*S} \mu_\alpha(a_\gamma) \\
&= \sum_{\gamma \in G} m_\gamma u_e \otimes_{G*S} \mu_{\gamma\gamma^{-1}\alpha}(a_\gamma) = \sum_{\gamma \in G} m_\gamma u_e \otimes_{G*S} u_\gamma \underline{1} \cdot \mu_{\gamma^{-1}\alpha}(a_\gamma) \\
&= \sum_{\gamma \in G} m_\gamma u_e \cdot u_\gamma \underline{1} \otimes_{G*S} \mu_{\gamma^{-1}\alpha}(a_\gamma) = \sum_{\gamma \in G} m_\gamma u_\gamma \otimes_{G*S} \mu_{\gamma^{-1}\alpha}(a_\gamma);
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\varphi_{M,\alpha} \circ \varphi_{M,\alpha}^{-1}) \left(\mu_\alpha \left(\sum_{i=1}^n m_i \otimes_T a_i \right) \right) &= \varphi_{M,\alpha} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n m_i u_e \otimes_{G*S} \mu_\alpha(a_i) \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_\alpha(m_i \otimes_T a_i) = \mu_\alpha \left(\sum_{i=1}^n m_i \otimes_T a_i \right).
\end{aligned}$$

So we have shown that F_7 and $\widetilde{F_7}$ are naturally isomorphic. From the uniqueness of the adjoint functor, it follows that also $G_7 \cong \widetilde{G_7}$. The fact that $(\widetilde{F_7}, \widetilde{G_7})$ is a pair of inverse equivalences implies that also (F_7, G_7) is a pair of inverse equivalences, as needed. $\square$

10. APPLICATION TO $\underline{H}$ -COMODULE ALGEBRAS

Let k be a commutative ring. Recall [15] that a Hopf G -coalgebra is a G -coalgebra $\underline{H} = (H_\alpha)_{\alpha \in G}$ with the following additional structure: every H_α is a k -algebra, such that $\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}$ and ϵ are algebra maps, and we have a family of maps $S_\alpha : H_{\alpha^{-1}} \rightarrow H_\alpha$ such that

$$S_\alpha(h_{(1,\alpha^{-1})})h_{(2,\alpha)} = h_{(1,\alpha)}S_\alpha(h_{(2,\alpha^{-1})}) = \varepsilon(h)1_{H_\alpha},$$

for every $h \in H_e$. A right G - $\underline{H}$ -comodule algebra is a k -algebra A with a right $\underline{H}$ -coaction $\underline{\rho} = (\rho_\alpha)_{\alpha \in G}$ such that

$$\rho_\alpha(ab) = a_{[0]}b_{[0]} \otimes a_{[1,\alpha]}b_{[1,\alpha]} \text{ and } \rho_\alpha(1_A) = 1_A \otimes 1_{H_\alpha},$$

for all $a, b \in A$ and $\alpha \in G$. This notion was introduced by the third author in [17]. The proof of the following result is straightforward.

Proposition 10.1. *Let $\underline{H}$ be a Hopf G -coalgebra, and A right G - $\underline{H}$ -comodule algebra. Then $\underline{\mathcal{C}} = A \otimes \underline{H} = (A \otimes H_\alpha)_{\alpha \in G}$ is a G - A -coring. The A -bimodule structures are given by the formulas*

$$a'(b \otimes h)a = a'ba_{[0]} \otimes ha_{[1,\alpha]},$$

for all $a, a', b \in A$, $\alpha \in G$, $h \in H_\alpha$. The comultiplication and counit maps are the following:

$$\Delta_{\alpha,\beta} : A \otimes H_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow (A \otimes H_\alpha) \otimes_A (A \otimes H_\beta), \quad \Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(a \otimes h) = (a \otimes h_{(1,\alpha)}) \otimes_A (1_A \otimes h_{(2,\beta)});$$

$$\varepsilon = A \otimes \epsilon : A \otimes H_e \rightarrow A.$$

$\underline{x} = (1_A \otimes 1_{H_\alpha})_{\alpha \in G}$ is a grouplike family of $A \otimes \underline{H}$.

It is easy to see that, for $a \in A$, $a \in A^{\text{co}\underline{\mathcal{C}}}$ if and only if $a \otimes 1_{H_\alpha}$ equals $(1_A \otimes 1_{H_\alpha})a = a_{[0]} \otimes a_{[1,\alpha]} = \rho_\alpha(a)$, for all $\alpha \in G$. With notation as in [20], this means that

$$A^{\text{co}\underline{\mathcal{C}}} = A^0 = \{a \in A \mid \rho_\alpha(a) = a \otimes 1_{H_\alpha}, \text{ for all } \alpha \in G\}.$$

Let $B \rightarrow A^{\text{co}\underline{\mathcal{C}}}$ be a ring morphism. We can then compute the morphism $\text{can} : (A \otimes_B A)\langle G \rangle \rightarrow A \otimes \underline{H}$ as follows: $\text{can}_\alpha : \mu_\alpha(A \otimes_B A) \rightarrow A \otimes H_\alpha$ is given by the formula

$$\text{can}_\alpha(\mu_\alpha(a \otimes b)) = a(1_A \otimes 1_{H_\alpha})b = ab_{[0]} \otimes b_{[1,\alpha]}.$$

This proves the following result.

Proposition 10.2. *Let A be a right comodule algebra over a Hopf G -coalgebra $\underline{H}$. Then $(A \otimes \underline{H}, (1_A \otimes 1_{H_\alpha})_{\alpha \in G})$ is a Galois G - A -coring if and only if A is a G - $\underline{H}$ -Galois extension of $A^{\text{co}\underline{C}} = A^0$, in the sense of [20, Def. 7.1].*

Let H be a Hopf algebra, and $\underline{H} = (H_\alpha)_{\alpha \in G}$ a set of isomorphic copies of H , indexed by the group G . Let $H_e = H$, and $\lambda_\alpha : H \rightarrow H_\alpha$ the connecting isomorphism. Then $\underline{H}$ is a Hopf G -coalgebra, with structure maps

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{\alpha,\beta}(\lambda_{\alpha\beta}(h)) &= \lambda_\alpha(h_{(1)}) \otimes \lambda_\beta(h_{(2)}); \\ S_\alpha(\lambda_{\alpha^{-1}}(h)) &= \lambda_\alpha(S(h)).\end{aligned}$$

The counit is the counit of H , and every H_α is a k -algebra. We call $\underline{H} = H\langle G \rangle$ the cofree Hopf G -coalgebra associated to H . Using Propositions 5.10 and 10.2, we obtain the following result:

Proposition 10.3. *Let A be a right comodule algebra over a Hopf G -coalgebra $\underline{H}$. A is a G - $\underline{H}$ -Galois extension of A^0 if and only if $\underline{H}$ is a cofree Hopf G -coalgebra and A is an H -Galois extension of $A^{\text{co}H_e} = A^0$.*

A right relative $(\underline{H}, A)$ -Hopf module (in [20] termed a right G - $(\underline{H}, A)$ -Hopf module) is a right A -module M , with the additional structure of right $\underline{H}$ -comodule, such that the compatibility condition

$$\rho_\alpha(ma) = m_{[0]}a_{[0]} \otimes m_{[1,\alpha]}a_{[1,\alpha]}$$

holds for all $m \in M$, $a \in A$, $\alpha \in G$. $\mathcal{M}_A^{\underline{H}}$ will denote the category of right relative $(\underline{H}, A)$ -Hopf modules.

In a similar way, a right relative group $(\underline{H}, A)$ -Hopf module is a family of right A -modules $(M_\alpha)_{\alpha \in G}$, with the additional structure $(\rho_{\alpha,\beta})_{\alpha,\beta \in G}$ of right G - $\underline{H}$ -comodule, with the compatibility relation

$$\rho_{\alpha\beta}(ma) = m_{[0,\alpha]}a_{[0]} \otimes m_{[1,\alpha]}a_{[1,\beta]},$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in G$, $m \in M_{\alpha\beta}$ and $a \in A$. The category of right relative group $(\underline{H}, A)$ -Hopf modules is denoted by $\mathcal{M}_A^{G,\underline{H}}$. The proof of the following result is straightforward, and is left to the reader.

Proposition 10.4. *Let A be a right comodule algebra over a Hopf G -coalgebra $\underline{H}$. Then we have isomorphisms of categories $\mathcal{M}_A^{\underline{H}} \cong \mathcal{M}^{A \otimes \underline{H}}$ and $\mathcal{M}_A^{G,\underline{H}} \cong \mathcal{M}^{G,A \otimes \underline{H}}$.*

Let $B \rightarrow A^0$ be a ring morphism. It follows from Propositions 5.4 and 10.4 that we have a pair of adjoint functors (F_7, G_7) between $\mathcal{M}_B$ and $\mathcal{M}_A^{G,\underline{H}}$. As an application of Theorem 5.12, we obtain the following Structure Theorem for relative group $(\underline{H}, A)$ -Hopf modules.

Proposition 10.5. *Let A be a right comodule algebra over a Hopf G -coalgebra $\underline{H}$, and $B \rightarrow A^0$ a ring morphism. Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (1) $B \cong A^0$, A is a G - $\underline{H}$ -Galois extension of A^0 , and A is faithfully flat as a left B -module;
- (2) (F_7, G_7) is a pair of inverse equivalences and A is flat as a left B -module.

Let us finally compute the left dual graded A -ring R of $A \otimes \underline{H}$. We have an isomorphism of k -modules

$$R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} {}_A \text{Hom}(A \otimes H_{\alpha^{-1}}, A) \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} \text{Hom}(H_{\alpha^{-1}}, A).$$

The multiplication (and the A -bimodule structure) on R can be transported to $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} \text{Hom}(H_{\alpha^{-1}}, A)$. We obtain the following multiplication rule, for $f \in \text{Hom}(H_{\alpha^{-1}}, A) \cong R_\alpha$, $g \in \text{Hom}(H_{\beta^{-1}}, A) \cong R_\beta$, $h \in H_{(\alpha\beta)^{-1}}$:

$$(27) \quad (f \# g)(h) = f(h_{(2, \alpha^{-1})})_{[0]} g(h_{(1, \beta^{-1})})_{[1, \beta^{-1}]} f(h_{(2, \alpha^{-1})})_{[1, \beta^{-1}]}.$$

Before we investigate more carefully the situation where $\underline{H}$ is homogeneously finite (that is, every H_α is a finitely generated and projective k -module, we make some general observations.

Let K be a (classical) Hopf algebra, and A a left K -module algebra. Then we can form the smash product $K^{\text{op}} \# A$, with multiplication rule

$$(28) \quad (h \# a)(k \# b) = k_{(1)} h \# (k_{(2)} \cdot a) b.$$

It is well-known that $K^{\text{op}} \# A$ is an A -ring.

We call K a graded Hopf algebra if K is a Hopf algebra and a G -graded algebra such that $\Delta(K_\alpha) \subset K_\alpha \otimes K_\alpha$ and $S(K_\alpha) \subset K_{\alpha^{-1}}$. This implies in particular that every K_α is a subcoalgebra of K . If K is a graded Hopf algebra, and A is a left K -module algebra, then $K^{\text{op}} \# A$ is a graded A -ring. In [21], a G -graded Hopf algebra is called a Hopf G -algebra in packed form. The defining axioms of a Hopf G -algebra are formally dual to the defining axioms of a Hopf G -coalgebra. A Hopf G -algebra is a family of k -coalgebras $\underline{K} = (K_\alpha)_{\alpha \in G}$ together with k -coalgebra maps $\mu_{\alpha, \beta} : K_\alpha \otimes K_\beta \rightarrow K_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\eta : k \rightarrow K_e$ satisfying the obvious associativity and unit properties. We also need antipode maps $S_\alpha : K_\alpha \rightarrow K_{\alpha^{-1}}$ such that

$$\mu_{\alpha^{-1}, \alpha}(S_\alpha(k_{(1)}) \otimes k_{(2)}) = \mu_{\alpha, \alpha^{-1}}(k_{(1)} \otimes S_\alpha(k_{(2)})) = \eta(\varepsilon(k)),$$

for all $k \in K_\alpha$. It is straightforward to show that $K = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} K_\alpha$ is a graded Hopf algebra. Conversely, if K is a graded Hopf algebra, then $(K_\alpha)_{\alpha \in G}$ is a Hopf G -algebra. Thus we have an isomorphism between the categories of G -graded Hopf algebras and Hopf G -algebras.

If $\underline{H}$ is a homogeneously finite Hopf G -coalgebra, then $\underline{K} = (H_{\alpha^{-1}}^*)_{\alpha \in G}$ is a Hopf G -algebra, and, consequently, $K = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} H_{\alpha^{-1}}^*$ is a G -graded Hopf algebra.

If A is a right $\underline{H}$ -module algebra, then it is also a left K -module algebra, with action $h^* \cdot a = \langle h^*, a_{[1, \alpha^{-1}]} \rangle a_{[0]}$, for all $h^* \in K_\alpha = H_{\alpha^{-1}}^*$.

For every $\alpha \in G$,

$${}_A\text{Hom}(A \otimes H_{\alpha^{-1}}, A) \cong \text{Hom}(H_{\alpha^{-1}}, A) \cong H_{\alpha^{-1}}^* \otimes A$$

is the degree α component of $K^{\text{op}} \# A$.

Theorem 10.6. *Let $\underline{H}$ be a homogeneously finite Hopf G -coalgebra, and A a right $\underline{H}$ -comodule algebra. Then $R = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in G} {}_A\text{Hom}(A \otimes H_{\alpha^{-1}}, A)$ is isomorphic to $K^{\text{op}} \# A$ as a G -graded A -ring. Consequently, the categories $\mathcal{M}_A^{G, \mathcal{C}}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{K^{\text{op}} \# A}^G$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. We have to show that the k -module isomorphisms

$$\lambda_{\alpha} : H_{\alpha^{-1}}^* \otimes A \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H_{\alpha^{-1}}, A), \quad \lambda_{\alpha}(h^* \otimes a)(h) = \langle h^*, h \rangle a$$

transport the multiplication rule (28) to (27). Take $\alpha, \beta \in G$, $h^* \in H_{\alpha^{-1}}^*$, $k^* \in H_{\beta^{-1}}^*$, $a, b \in A$, and write $f = \lambda_{\alpha}(h^* \otimes a)$, $g = \lambda_{\beta}(k^* \otimes b)$. For $h \in H_{(\alpha\beta)^{-1}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (f \# g)(h) &= \langle h^*, h_{(2, \alpha^{-1})} \rangle a_{[0]} g(h_{(1, \beta^{-1})} a_{[1, \beta^{-1}]}) \\ &= \langle h^*, h_{(2, \alpha^{-1})} \rangle \langle k^*, h_{(1, \beta^{-1})} a_{[1, \beta^{-1}]} \rangle a_{[0]} b \\ &= \langle h^*, h_{(2, \alpha^{-1})} \rangle \langle k_{(1)}^*, h_{(1, \beta^{-1})} \rangle \langle k_{(2)}^*, a_{[1, \beta^{-1}]} \rangle a_{[0]} b \\ &= \langle k_{(1)}^* * h^*, h \rangle (k_{(2)}^* \cdot a) b \\ &= \lambda_{\alpha\beta}(k_{(1)}^* * h^* \# (k_{(2)}^* \cdot a) b)(h), \end{aligned}$$

and we conclude that

$$\lambda_{\alpha}(h^* \otimes a) \# \lambda_{\beta}(k^* \otimes b) = \lambda_{\alpha\beta}((h^* \# a)(k^* \# b)),$$

as needed. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Bass, Algebraic K-theory, Benjamin, New York, 1968.
- [2] P. Boisen, Graded Morita theory, *J. Algebra* **164** (1994), 1–25.
- [3] T. Brzeziński, The structure of corings. Induction functors, Maschke-type theorem, and Frobenius and Galois properties, *Algebr. Representat. Theory* **5** (2002), 389–410.
- [4] T. Brzeziński and R. Wisbauer, “Corings and comodules”, *London Math. Soc. Lect. Note Ser.* **309**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [5] S. Caenepeel, *Galois corings from the descent theory point of view*, *Fields Inst. Comm* **43** (2004), 163–186.
- [6] S. Caenepeel, M. De Lombaerde, A categorical approach to Turaev’s Hopf group coalgebras, *Comm. Algebra*, **34** (2006), 2631–2657.
- [7] S. Caenepeel, T. Guédénon, Fully bounded noetherian rings and Frobenius extensions, *J. Algebra Appl.*, to appear.
- [8] S. Caenepeel, G. Militaru and Shenglin Zhu, “Frobenius and separable functors for generalized module categories and nonlinear equations”, *Lect. Notes in Math.* **1787**, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [9] S. Caenepeel, F. Van Oystaeyen, “Brauer groups and the cohomology of graded rings”, *Monographs Textbooks Pure Appl. Math.* **121**, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1988.
- [10] S. Caenepeel, J. Vercruysse and Shuanhong Wang, Morita Theory for corings and cleft entwining structures, *J. Algebra* **276** (2004), 210–235.

- [11] A. Marcus, Equivalences induced by graded bimodules, *Comm. Algebra* **26** (1998), 713–731.
- [12] C. Năstăsescu, B. Torrecillas, “Graded coalgebras”, *Tsukuba Math. J.* **17** (1993), 461–479.
- [13] C. Năstăsescu, F. Van Oystaeyen, “Methods of graded rings”, *Lect. Notes in Math.* **1836**, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [14] M. E. Sweedler, The predual Theorem to the Jacobson-Bourbaki Theorem, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **213** (1975), 391–406.
- [15] V.G. Turaev, Homotopy field theory in dimension 3 and crossed group-categories, preprint arXiv:math. GT/0005291.
- [16] A. Virelizier, Hopf group-coalgebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **171** (2002), 75–122.
- [17] S. H. Wang, Group twisted smash products and Doi-Hopf modules for T -coalgebras, *Comm. Algebra* **32** (2004), 3417–3436.
- [18] S. H. Wang, Group entwining structures and group coalgebra coextensions, *Comm. Algebra* **32** (2004), 3437–3457.
- [19] S. H. Wang, A Maschke type theorem for Hopf π -comodules, *Tsukuba J. Math.* **28** (2004), 377–388.
- [20] S. H. Wang, Morita contexts, π -Galois extensions for Hopf π -coalgebras, *Comm. Algebra* **34** (2006), 521–546.
- [21] M. Zunino, Double construction for crossed Hopf coalgebras, *J. Algebra* **278** (2004), 43–75.
- [22] M. Zunino, Yetter-Drinfeld modules for crossed structures, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **193** (2004), 313–343.

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, VRIJE UNIVERSITEIT BRUSSEL, B-1050 BRUSSELS, BELGIUM

E-mail address: scaenepe@vub.ac.be

URL: <http://homepages.vub.ac.be/~scaenepe/>

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, VRIJE UNIVERSITEIT BRUSSEL, B-1050 BRUSSELS, BELGIUM

E-mail address: krjansse@vub.ac.be

URL: <http://homepages.vub.ac.be/~krjansse/>

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOUTHEAST UNIVERSITY, NANJING 210096, CHINA

E-mail address: shuanhwang2002@yahoo.com